

Corrigenda and Addenda

Metadata and Title Correction: Online Focus Group Discussion is a Valid and Feasible Mode When Investigating Sensitive Topics Among Young Persons With a Cancer Experience

Lena Wettergren¹, PhD, RN; Lars E Eriksson^{2,3,4}, RN, MSc, PhD; Jenny Nilsson¹, RN, MSc (Nursing); Anna Jervaeus¹, RN, MSc, PhD; Claudia Lampic¹, Lic Psych, PhD

¹Division of Nursing, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden

²Medical Management Center (MMC), Department of Learning, Informatics, Management and Ethics (LIME), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

³Department of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

⁴School of Health Sciences, City University London, London, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Lena Wettergren, PhD, RN

Division of Nursing

Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society

Karolinska Institutet

23 300

Huddinge, SE-141 83

Sweden

Phone: 46 524 836 50

Fax: 46 524 888 50

Email: lena.wettergren@ki.se

Related Article:

Correction of: <http://www.researchprotocols.org/2016/2/e86/>

(*JMIR Res Protoc* 2016;5(2):e92) doi: [10.2196/resprot.5971](https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.5971)

The title of the paper originally entitled “Content Analysis of Online Focus Group Discussions is a Valid and Feasible Mode When Investigating Sensitive Topics Among Young Persons With a Cancer Experience” (*JMIR ResProtoc* 2016 May 9; 5(2):e86) was corrected to read “Online Focus Group Discussion is a Valid and Feasible Mode When Investigating Sensitive Topics Among Young Persons With a Cancer Experience”. The error was introduced by the editor in the final proofreading process to remove a grammatical issue, but the authors feel that “content analysis” in the title is incorrect because content analysis was not the method used for analyzing data in the present study. The advantages and disadvantages with the mode

of data collection was judged by analyzing characteristics of those who participated, interactions during discussions and the participants’ evaluation of the focus group discussions. Secondly, there was also an incorrect affiliation footnote reading “null” associated with author Lena Wettergren, which has now been removed from the metadata. These errors have been corrected in the online version of the paper on the JMIR website on May 10 and May 12, 2016, respectively, together with publishing this correction notice. There are no changes to the contents of the paper. A correction notice has been sent to PubMed. This was done before submission to PubMed Central and other full-text repositories.

Edited by G Eysenbach;###Reviewer names will be inserted here### Submitted 12.05.16; published 12.05.16.

Please cite as:

Wettergren L, Eriksson LE, Nilsson J, Jervaeus A, Lampic C

Metadata and Title Correction: Online Focus Group Discussion is a Valid and Feasible Mode When Investigating Sensitive Topics Among Young Persons With a Cancer Experience

JMIR Res Protoc 2016;5(2):e92

URL: <http://www.researchprotocols.org/2016/2/e92/>

doi: [10.2196/resprot.5971](https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.5971)

PMID: [27183496](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27183496/)

©Lena Wettergren, Lars E Eriksson, Jenny Nilsson, Anna Jervaeus, Claudia Lampic. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (<http://www.researchprotocols.org>), 12.05.2016. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.researchprotocols.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.