

Appendix 2 Characteristics of studies included IN for oesophagectomy

Reference number	Citation Study	Design & setting	Participants	Intervention aim & description OR Indicator/Issue of interest	Attrition	Type of IEF	NHMR C level of evidence	Internal validity (Study quality*)	Outcomes
1	Example [40]	Diagnostic, Observational, cross-sectional and case-control study ¹ (for test accuracy) in oncology ward of a private tertiary Australian hospital	71 cancer patients aged 18-92 y	Scored PG-SGA questionnaire, comparison of scored PG-SGA with subjective global assessment (SGA), sensitivity, specificity on cancer patients aged 18 – 92 y	N/A	Impact Arg RNA ω-3PUFA	Level III-2	<p>Strong recommendation</p> <p>Low quality evidence</p> <p>Moderate risk of bias</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High risk of Spectrum Bias - Potential methodology (not standardized) bias - Potential risk of observer/detection bias - Potential risk of Diagnostic Review Bias 	Concurrent validity of PG-SGA as assessment tool in oncology patients
		Overall Grading Body of evidence			Recommendation				