Teaching Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes Self-Compassion (TADS) to Reduce Diabetes Distress: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Background: Adolescents living with type 1 diabetes (T1D) often experience diabetes distress (DD), a construct distinct from depression or anxiety that refers to the negative emotions that arise from living with and managing diabetes. Self-compassion, which involves being open to one’s own suffering and treating oneself with the same care one would show to loved ones, is associated with better psychological and clinical outcomes among individuals with T1D. Self-compassion is a skill that can be taught and therefore represents an opportunity for intervention.

Objective: The overall aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness of a web-based mindful self-compassion for teens (MSC-T) intervention on improving DD, anxiety, depression, diabetes-related disordered eating, and suicidal ideation experienced by youth with T1D (aged between 12 and 17 years) compared with a waitlist control group (standard of care). We will also explore (1) if the effect of the MSC-T intervention changes over time, (2) if the MSC-T intervention has a positive impact on measures of glycemic control, and (3) if the effect of the MSC-T intervention differs based on self-reported gender.

Methods: We will conduct a single-center, parallel-group randomized controlled trial of 140 adolescents with T1D followed for 12 months. Participants will be randomly allocated (using hidden allocation) in a 1:1 ratio to either the MSC-T intervention or the waitlist control group. Our primary outcome is DD, as measured by the Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen (PAID-T) version at 3 months. Secondary outcomes, assessed at 3 and 12 months, include anxiety (Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item [GAD-7])
Since self-compassion is a modifiable behavior on which one can be trained [17,18], we have a largely untapped opportunity for intervention among youth with T1D.

Mindfulness-based therapy has led to short-term improvements in self-reported stress among adults and emerging adults with T1D [19]. However, a sole focus on mindfulness is not sufficient, as it does not provide enough training around active self-comforting in suffering. Mindfulness also does not provide the aptitude to address the feelings of failure and self-blame that are common among youth with T1D [20]. Therefore, our goal is to study the effects of a psychological intervention combining mindfulness and self-compassion (mindful self-compassion for teens [MSC-T]) on DD among youth with T1D.

Objectives
Our primary objective is to compare DD at 3 months among youth with T1D who participated in the MSC-T intervention to those in a waitlist control group. Our secondary objectives are to (1) compare anxiety, depression, diabetes-related disordered eating, and suicidal ideation at 3 months among youth with T1D who participated in the MSC-T intervention with those in the waitlist control group; and (2) compare DD, anxiety, depression, diabetes-related disordered eating, and suicidal ideation at 12 months among youth with T1D who participated in the MSC-T intervention with those in the waitlist control group. Finally, our exploratory objectives are to (1) explore if the effect of the MSC-T intervention changes over time throughout the 12-month follow-up period, (2) compare glycemic control (HbA1c and time in range) among adolescents with T1D who participated in the MSC-T intervention to those in the waitlist control group, and (3) explore if the effect of the web-based MSC-T intervention on DD differs based on self-reported gender.

Hypotheses
We hypothesize that adolescents who participate in the MSC-T intervention will report lower DD, anxiety, depression, diabetes-related disordered eating, and suicidal ideation at 3 months compared with the waitlist control group.

Introduction
Background and Rationale
Adolescence constitutes a critical developmental period during which youth establish their autonomy while grappling with the social, emotional, and physical challenges of becoming young adults. This period represents a time of tremendous vulnerability, during which psychiatric and mood disorders often manifest [1]. Adolescence is even more challenging for youth with type 1 diabetes (T1D), as they contend with the daily challenges of disease management in addition to the developmental challenges inherent to this phase of development.

Diabetes distress (DD) is an emotional construct that refers to the negative emotions that arise from living with and managing diabetes. The majority of youth with T1D experience some level of DD [2,3], with adolescent females experiencing greater DD than males [4-6]. More than one-third of youth with T1D suffer from substantial DD [7]. DD is apparent in youth for many reasons, including fear of stigma from peers [8], feeling overwhelmed by their diabetes regimen [9], and worry of hypoglycemia and long-term complications of a potentially fatal condition [9].

Self-compassion, a positive psychological construct, involves being open to one’s own suffering and treating oneself with the same care one would show to loved ones [10]. Youth can have varying degrees of innate self-compassion [11]. Higher levels of self-compassion are associated with lower levels of distress in youth with other chronic medical conditions [12], and it is a psychological characteristic that correlates with favorable outcomes, including psychological well-being, self-care, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) among individuals with diabetes [13].

Among adults with diabetes, an intervention to increase self-compassion resulted in reductions in DD [14]. However, this relationship has yet to be shown in youth with T1D. Self-compassion interventions lead to better psychological well-being and decreased psychopathology in healthy adolescents and those with some chronic conditions [15,16]. Since self-compassion is a modifiable behavior on which one can be trained [17,18], we have a largely untapped opportunity for intervention among youth with T1D.

Results:
Study recruitment began in October 2022 and was completed in March 2023, with a total of 141 participants enrolling. Data collection will be ongoing until March 2024. The first results are expected in June 2024.

Conclusions: This study will be the first randomized trial to assess the effectiveness of the web-based MSC-T intervention on adolescents with T1D. Given that adolescence is a period where individuals are typically required to assume more responsibility for their diabetes care, providing adolescents with the tools they need to better manage the stress that often accompanies T1D management is paramount.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05463874; https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05463874
International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): DERR1-10.2196/53935
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Methods

Ethical Considerations
This study was reviewed and approved by the local Research Ethics Board at the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO; protocol 22/09E, approved July 17, 2022). Following an introduction from a member of the participant’s circle of care, in line with requirements outlined in the Personal Health Information Protection Act, a member of the research team will obtain written informed consent, either in person or remotely, using our REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture; Vanderbilt University) system [21,22]. Participants will consent on their own behalf and will be compensated with gift cards for completing the study questionnaires (US $15 for baseline, US $20 for week 8, US $30 for month 3, US $30 for month 6, and US $35 for month 12) and up to 15 volunteer hours.

Textbox 1. The inclusion and exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Aged between 12 and 17 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diagnosed with type 1 diabetes (T1D) ≥6 months before enrollment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ability to provide informed consent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Inability or unwillingness to provide informed consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inability to speak English with enough fluency to complete all study-related tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of an intellectual disability that would preclude participation in the mindful self-compassion for teens (MSC-T) intervention, as assessed by the treating physician.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A lifetime diagnosis of a psychotic or bipolar disorder given by a clinician (these often involve intensive treatments with psychological and pharmacologic implications, which may confound our results).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of acute suicidality at the time of enrollment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Active participation in another mental health intervention trial.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trial Intervention
As shown in Figure 1, participants from the diabetes clinic at CHEO will be randomized to the MSC-T intervention group or the waitlist control group (standard of care).

Study Design
We will conduct a single-center, parallel-group randomized controlled trial assessing the effect of a web-based MSC-T intervention on DD in adolescents with T1D followed for 12 months, compared with a waitlist control group (standard of care).

Participants and Recruitment
We will recruit 140 adolescents with T1D, aged between 12 and 17 years, from the Diabetes Clinic at CHEO in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
The inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria for this study are presented in Textbox 1.
**Intervention Group**

The MSC-T intervention ([Textbox 2](#)) teaches the participants to be open to, rather than disconnected from, their own suffering and treat themselves with the same care they would show to a friend [10]. The program consists of 8 weekly interactive sessions, each lasting 1.5 hours (a total of 12 hours). The program will be led by our collaborators (KB and AL), who are trained, experienced MSC-T instructors. Each session will begin with a brief mindful art activity, which provides a transition to the class. Classes include developmentally appropriate hands-on exercises, videos, games, mindful movement, music meditation, and between-class practice assignments to solidify practices. The session content and structure were reviewed by our people with lived experience collaborators (SH and OI).

MSC-T program fidelity will be determined by (1) assessing the change in self-compassion between baseline and 8 weeks and (2) assessing if the required topics for each MSC-T session...
were covered and activities performed. Self-compassion will be assessed using the Self-Compassion Scale for Youth, a psychometrically valid and reliable measure of self-compassion for adolescents [23]. To ensure the respective topics are appropriately covered in the MSC-T sessions, a member of the study team will complete a fidelity assessment during each session. Participants’ satisfaction and acceptability of the intervention will be evaluated using an intervention satisfaction survey, similar to what has been previously used to determine satisfaction with the web-based MSC-T program [18].

Textbox 2. Program summary (sessions and topics) for mindful self-compassion for teens (MSC-T) intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Discovering mindful self-compassion: introduction to concepts of mindfulness and self-compassion; safety measures for class established; and both informal and formal practices are introduced.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>Paying attention on purpose: concepts of mindfulness, wandering mind, and default mode network are discussed; mindful eating, “soles of the feet,” and “palm of the hand” meditations are presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>Lovingkindness: “lovingkindness” is defined and lovingkindness practice is introduced; participants create their own lovingkindness phrases; adolescent brain development is discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Self-compassion: this exercise encourages teenagers to turn from the “inner critic” toward the “compassionate voice,” and music meditation is introduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>Self-compassion versus self-esteem: the difference between these 2 is elucidated, and the perils of social comparison are discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Living deeply: core values exercise; “giving and receiving” meditation is introduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>Managing difficult emotions: “soften, soothe, and allow” practice is introduced; tools to contend with anger and unmet needs are practiced; and 2 developing systems of the adolescent brain are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Embracing your life with gratitude: gratitude and self-appreciation practices are presented, and the wrap-up of the course takes place through writing a letter to oneself.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waitlist Control Group

Participants in the wait-list control group will receive standard-of-care treatment as usual. Following the conclusion of the experimental protocol, participants in this group will be given the opportunity to complete the 8-week web-based MSC-T program.

Randomization Procedures

We will use the randomization module in REDCap [21,22] to allocate participants to their group. The randomization table will be created by a study team member who is not directly involved in patient recruitment or data collection using R (R Core Team) [24]. The trial coordinator will generate the allocation for each participant following obtaining informed consent and completion of the baseline assessments (ie, there will be hidden allocation).

Participants will be randomly allocated to either the MSC-T intervention group or the waitlist control group using a 1:1 ratio block randomization. We will stratify each block by age group (12-14 years [early adolescence] or 15-17 years [middle adolescence]). The cohort will be divided by these age groups to deliver the intervention with the goal of fostering a developmentally appropriate and open environment. Stratification by age group is therefore necessary to ensure an equal age distribution between the treatment groups, since the developmental age of a participant could impact their response to the intervention [25]. We will also randomly vary the block size, with blocks of either 6 or 8, to prevent prediction of group allocation as participants are accrued [26].

Data and Outcome Measures

We will collect the following demographic information at baseline: age, postal code (to determine socioeconomic status using neighborhood-level material and social deprivation indices [27,28]), optional self-reporting of gender identity (participants will be presented with a range of options [29,30]; Table 1), race or ethnicity, and indigeneity [31] (Table 2), and participant’s
social context (living arrangements, etc). Medical history will be collected at baseline, and at intervals, it will be reviewed at each follow-up visit to determine if participants have been newly diagnosed with a serious mental illness (exclusion or withdrawal criteria).

Table 1. Gender reporting options to be presented to participants. The presented gender reporting options are in line with accepted standards for determining gender identity in research [29,30]. Participants will be informed that the provision of this information is voluntary and that they will be allowed to select more than one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-reported option</th>
<th>Analysis group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>Will depend on if another option is selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender boy or transgender male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender girl or transgender female</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genderqueer</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender expansive</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgy nous</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbinary</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-spirited</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third gender</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agender</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure or questioning</td>
<td>Gender diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Will depend on free text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>Will be excluded from gender analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Ethnicity and Indigenous identity questions and responses to be presented to participants. The categories are in line with accepted standards for reporting ethnicity from the Canadian Institute for Health Information [31]. Participants will be informed that the provision of this information is voluntary and that they will be allowed to select more than one. Participants will be asked to answer the following question: “In our society, people are often described by their race or racial background. These are not based in science, but our race may influence the way we are treated by individuals and institutions, and this may affect our health and health outcomes related to diabetes. Which category or categories best describe you? Check all that apply.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response category</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>African, African Canadian, and Afro-Caribbean descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asian</td>
<td>Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Taiwanese descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous (First Nations, Inuk/Inuit, Métis)</td>
<td>First Nations, Inuk or Inuit, and Métis descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American</td>
<td>Hispanic or Latin American descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>Arab, Persian, and West Asian descent (eg, Afghan, Egyptian, Iranian, Kurdish, Lebanese, and Turkish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>South Asian descent (eg, Bangladeshi, Indian, Indo-Caribbean, Pakistani, and Sri Lankan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian</td>
<td>Cambodian, Filipino, Indonesian, Thai, Vietnamese, or other Southeast Asian descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>European descent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other category</td>
<td>Includes options not described above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not know</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aN/A: not applicable.

Our primary outcome, DD, has been shown to be the psychological construct most related to metabolic outcomes in adolescents with T1D [3]. DD will be measured using the Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teens (PAID-T) version [32], a validated measure to evaluate diabetes-specific emotional distress in adolescents with high internal consistency (Cronbach α=.96) [32]. It consists of 26 items, each scored on a 6-point ordinal scale, allowing for the calculation of an overall distress
Our secondary outcomes are anxiety, depression, diabetes-related disordered eating, and suicidal ideation. Symptoms of anxiety will be measured using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) scale, a self-report tool to detect clinically significant anxiety symptoms. The GAD-7 is scored from 0-21, with 0-4, 5-9, 10-14, and 15-21 indicating minimal, mild, moderate, and severe anxiety symptoms, respectively [37]. It has been validated in adolescents [38] and has previously been used to assess adolescents with T1D [39,40].

Symptoms of depression will be measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) by self-report [41]. The PHQ-9 is a valid and reliable screening tool for identifying adolescents meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria for major depression [41]. Scores range from 0-27, and in adolescents, scores of 0-4, 5-10, 11-14, 15-19, and ≥20 indicate absence of, mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe depression, respectively [41].

Symptoms of diabetes-related disordered eating will be measured using the Diabetes Eating Problem Survey-Revised (DEPS-R) version [42], a diabetes-specific measure of disordered eating symptoms that is valid for use in adolescents with T1D [42]. The DEPS-R is scored from 0-80, with higher scores indicating more disordered eating behaviors, and a score of ≥20 is suggestive of disordered eating behaviors [42]. The use of a diabetes-specific tool to measure disordered eating is important, as generic measures may overestimate the prevalence of disordered eating symptoms given that diabetes management involves an emphasis on food intake and carbohydrate counting and that insulin restriction is not captured in generic measures [43].

Suicidal ideation will be assessed using 1 question on the PHQ-9, which asks the respondent if they have had thoughts that they would be better off dead or of hurting themselves in the previous 2 weeks. This question is scored on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). In line with previous use of the PHQ-9 [44], we will consider any score of >0 to be indicative that suicidal ideation is present.

Our exploratory outcomes are the effects of time, HbA1c, time in range, and effect of gender. We will assess the effect of the MSC-T intervention on DD changes over time by exploring the interaction of time (ie, study time point) with DD. We will assess both HbA1c and time in range, as not all our clinic patients wear a continuous glucose monitor (CGM), which is necessary to calculate time in range (>70% of our clinic patients wear a CGM).

HbA1c is a measure of the average blood glucose levels over the previous 3 months and is a routinely followed biochemical marker used to gauge metabolic control in individuals with T1D. We will explore changes in HbA1c over the duration of our trial, as mental health morbidity has been associated with higher HbA1c levels [45,46]. Time in range is a metric that denotes the proportion of time that a person’s glucose level is within a desired target range, calculated using a CGM. A total of 14 days of data from CGM provides a good approximation of glucose data [47]; time in range will allow us to evaluate the glucose control at the various time points. We will collect CGM data at all study visits. Given the web-based nature of the trial combined with the high proportion of our clinic population that uses CGM, which is a more reliable measure of metabolic control [48], we will minimize additional blood collection by recording results from clinically collected HbA1c at baseline and 1 year.

Safety Parameters and Description of Risk

All the mental health outcomes being assessed are self-reported by participants directly into the study database. The principal investigator or delegate and study coordinator will be immediately alerted through an automated REDCap algorithm when (1) an individual self-reports as having suicidal ideation >0 on question 9 of the PHQ-9 or (2) an individual intentionally does not fill out the suicidality question on the PHQ-9. The principal investigator, delegate, or study coordinator will contact the participant’s endocrinologist or the endocrinologist on call, who will conduct a same-day risk assessment using the Ask Suicide-Screening Questionnaire (ASQ) [49]. If the participant is judged to have acute suicidality or suicidal ideation as per the ASQ, the assessor will either suggest a referral to the emergency department or contact the psychiatrist on call, who will suggest clinical follow-up as appropriate. The assessor will report the results of the ASQ screening back to the study coordinator within 1 day. Participants with acute suicidality as per the ASQ will then be excluded or withdrawn from the study.

Trial Management

To foster an environment where the participants feel comfortable, the web-based MSC-T intervention will be conducted with groups of 12-18 participants of the same age group [18]. To ensure that there is no exclusion of youth based on socioeconomic status or access to technology, participants who do not have a computer, laptop, tablet, or webcam to attend the web-based sessions will be loaned a study tablet, and mobile internet devices will be provided to those who do not have high-speed internet at home. All participants will receive a subsidy to offset the cost of increased home internet use for the duration of the study. To maximize participant engagement, the trial coordinator will provide weekly reminders for the duration of the program through SMS text messages or email, depending on the participant’s preference.

At each follow-up visit (Table 3), the study coordinator will review the interim medical history using a study case report form and have the participants complete the study questionnaires.
Table 3. Schedule of activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>8 weeks (±2 weeks)</th>
<th>3 months (±2 weeks)</th>
<th>6 months (±4 weeks)</th>
<th>12 months (±4 weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline demographics questionnaire</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem Areas in Diabetes-Teen version (diabetes distress)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-compassion Scale for Youth (self-compassion)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention satisfaction surveya</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire (depression and suicidality)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Anxiety 7-item scale (anxiety)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Eating Problem Survey-Revised version (eating disorders)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1C</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in range</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review interval medical history</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe intervention satisfaction survey will be given to the participants in the mindful self-compassion for teens (MSC-T) intervention group only.*

**Engagement of People With Lived Experience of T1D**
To ensure that this study is feasible, interesting, and relevant to youth with T1D, we have engaged and plan to continue to engage with people with lived experience throughout all stages of the study. We have 2 people with lived experience as collaborators (SH and OI), both of whom are former patients of the CHEO diabetes clinic, who will help spearhead engagement strategies. Multimedia Appendix 1 provides more information about our people with lived experience engagement activities.

**Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Considerations**
We have considered gender and diversity in all aspects of this study, from recruitment through to knowledge translation. Multimedia Appendix 2 [4-6,50-52] provides more information about our equity, diversity, and inclusion considerations.

**Statistical Plan**

**Sample Size**
Our target sample size is 70 participants per group (140 participants total). This sample size achieves 80% power to detect group differences of 12 points or more [53] using a 2-sided significance level of 5% [26]. In this calculation, we assumed a mean PAID-T score of 73 in the waitlist control group with a SD of 26 [54]. A 30% attrition rate, based on previous relevant trials [55], was also considered.

**Statistical Analysis**
For the MSC-T and waitlist control groups, the following will be summarized using descriptive statistics: participant baseline characteristics, mental health outcome measures, and self-compassion score responses from each study time point, and for the MSC-T group, we will summarize the results of the intervention satisfaction survey and session attendance.

Our main analyses will be performed based on the intention-to-treat principle. We will also complete per-protocol analyses where we will exclude participants based on nonadherence to the intervention (defined as attending fewer than 6 out of 8 MSC-T sessions, in line with previous work done using MSC-T [56]).

**Primary Analysis for the Primary Outcome**
The primary outcome will be analyzed using a linear regression model adjusted for age and baseline DD to assess the effect of the MSC-T intervention on DD at the 3-month time point. We will adjust for age to account for the variability in the developmental stage of each participant, which may impact their response to the mindful self-compassion (MSC) program. We will also account for baseline levels of DD as we are assessing the change in DD from baseline to 3 months.

**Secondary Bayesian Analysis for the Primary Outcome**
Although our trial is powered to detect a moderate effect size of 12 points on the PAID-T, we acknowledge that a smaller effect size is still clinically meaningful. Bayesian methods focus on providing plausible values for the intervention effect that are compatible with both the observed data and previous knowledge and beliefs. Bayesian analysis enables the use of both noninformative priors that minimize the influence of priors on the statistical inference and informative priors that are guided by existing evidence or a range of collective expertise from investigators regarding the belief or skepticism of the intervention’s efficacy. Thus, a secondary Bayesian analysis will complement our frequentist analysis in the interpretation of our randomized trial results [57]. Following existing Bayesian analysis reporting guidelines [58], we will conduct a prespecified Bayesian linear regression analysis to examine the probability that the MSC-T intervention reduces DD in a clinically meaningful way compared with the control group based on the trial results.

The main objective of the Bayesian analysis is to determine the posterior probability (also known as an updated probabilistic belief) that the MSC-T intervention (1) affects PAID-T scores...
and (2) reduces DD by between-group differences on the PAID-T of 7-15 points (eg, effect size of 0.27-0.5), given the prior distributions of the treatment effect and the observed data from the trial. We considered an effect size of 0.27 as the minimum threshold for potential clinical relevance, given the strong relationship between DD and clinical outcomes [3], and based on the expert opinions of our team of experienced diabetologists.

To reflect our uncertainty around the effect of the MSC-T intervention, we will use a range of priors representing varying degrees of enthusiasm and skepticism (Multimedia Appendix 3). In the Bayesian regression analysis, noninformative priors will be used for nuisance parameters, including the regression intercept terms and the parameters that do not quantify the MSC intervention effectiveness. The convergence of Bayesian estimation will be examined using trace plots and the R^2 convergence index with a cut-off of 1.01 [59]. With the trial data, we will estimate the 95% credible intervals using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling techniques with at least 2 parallel chains [58] and report the estimated posterior probabilities for different magnitudes of treatment effects as specified in Multimedia Appendix 3. The analysis will be conducted in R statistical software [24], and Bayesian analysis will be performed using Stan MCMC (Stan Development Team) [60].

Analyses for Secondary Outcomes

Linear regression models and generalized linear regression models (for binary or categorical secondary outcomes), adjusting the same covariates as the primary analysis, will be used to assess the effect of the MSC-T intervention on our secondary outcomes of (1) depression, anxiety, disordered eating symptoms, and suicidal ideation at 3 months; and (2) DD, depression, anxiety, disordered eating symptoms, and suicidal ideation at 12 months.

Analyses for Exploratory Outcomes

To explore the effect of the MSC-T program on DD over time, we will use the 3-, 6-, and 12-month data to fit a linear mixed effects model, adjusted for time, age, baseline DD, an interaction term for time and group, and a random intercept (to account for repeated measures).

Exploratory outcome HbA1c at 12 months will be modeled in a linear regression adjusted for age and baseline HbA1c. Linear mixed models, adjusted for age, baseline time in range, and a random intercept, will be used to assess the effect of the MSC-T intervention on the repeated measure time in range. Interaction terms between group and gender will be included in a separate model to investigate how the MSC-T intervention differs based on self-reported gender (collapsed to male, female, and gender-diverse for the purposes of analysis) at the primary end point.

Sensitivity Analysis on Missing Data

Differences in characteristics between participants with no recorded outcome measurements and those with at least 1 recorded follow-up measurement will be assessed. For participants with at least 1 available data point, we will input missing outcome data following best-case and worst-case scenarios, where best-case is defined as successfully achieving clinically meaningful improvement in PAID-T scores and worst-case is defined as failure to achieve clinically meaningful improvement in PAID-T scores.

Results

Study recruitment began in October 2022 and was completed in March 2023, with 141 participants enrolling in the trial. Data collection will be ongoing until March 2024. The first results are expected in June 2024.

Discussion

Expected Findings

In this study, we will evaluate MSC-T as a way to improve DD and mental health outcomes among adolescents with T1D. We will also explore the impact of the MSC-T intervention on glycemic control. In adolescents with T1D, psychological interventions have largely targeted improvement in diet and insulin regimen adherence and strategies to cope with specific stressors [61]. While some approaches, including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), have shown promise for improving diabetes management, there is limited evidence that these traditional approaches translate to improved coping with distress [61]. A recent review [62] on the use of CBT for stress and coping in youth with T1D showed conflicting results, with a number of studies showing no improvement in stress [63] or DD [64]. CBT may also highlight potential causes of distress related to diabetes that the teenager has not yet considered without providing adequate skills and practices to manage these emotions [64].

We hypothesize that the combination of mindfulness and self-compassion practices in the MSC-T program will result in improved DD and mental health outcomes for adolescents enrolled in the program. We also anticipate that these improvements may translate to improved clinical outcomes through glycemic control.

Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized controlled trial using the MSC-T intervention to address DD in adolescents with T1D. One of our instructors (KB) is a person with lived experience of T1D; having an instructor who is also a person with lived experience of the condition has been identified as a key component in previous studies using MSC interventions [56]. The longer-term follow-up of 12 months is also a clear strength. Throughout adolescence, youth are typically required to become more independent with their diabetes self-management while receiving diminished support from their caregivers. Mental health morbidity, including DD, is associated with poor glycemic control, diabetic ketoacidosis, and hypoglycemia in youth with T1D [7,65,66]. The MSC-T program will help adolescents with T1D develop skills to better cope with their emotions around managing their diabetes.

There are, however, some potential limitations to this study. The trial is single-blind; participants and the trial coordinator

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e53935
are not blinded to their assignment group. However, the statistician conducting the primary analysis will be blinded to participant allocation to the MSC-T intervention or waitlist control group. Given that the intervention is web-based, it does require the use of high-speed internet and a device that has webcam functionality, which may make it difficult for some youth to attend should these items be lacking. However, in Canada and the United States, over 96% [67] and 95% [68] of youth and emerging adults own smartphones, respectively, suggesting that if the program were to be scaled nationally or internationally, attending such a program would be feasible for the majority of North Americans.

Conclusions
Our proposed research has the potential to lower DD among youth with T1D by increasing their mindfulness-based self-compassion. We expect that our work will enhance the psychological well-being of youth with T1D, providing them with self-compassion skills to face adversity as they develop into young adults, ultimately leading them to live happier and healthier lives. If effective, further research should be done toward the implementation of MSC-T into standard clinical care for youth with T1D through partnerships with diabetes organizations.
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Abbreviations

ASQ: Ask Suicide-Screening Questionnaire
CBT: cognitive behavioral therapy
CGM: continuous glucose monitor
CHEO: Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario
DD: diabetes distress
DEPS-R: Diabetes Eating Problem Survey-Revised