Protocol

Effects of Aerobic Exercise on Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Cardiovascular Risk Factors, and Patient-Reported Outcomes in Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

Tormod Skogstad Nilsen^{1*}, PhD; Mali Sæter^{2,3*}, MD; Sebastian Imre Sarvari³, MD, PhD; Kristin Valborg Reinertsen⁴, MD, PhD; Sara Hassing Johansen¹, MSc; Elisabeth Rustad Edvardsen¹, MSc; Jostein Hallén¹, PhD; Elisabeth Edvardsen^{1,5}, PhD; May Grydeland¹, PhD; Cecilie Essholt Kiserud⁴, MD, PhD; Hanne Cathrine Lie⁶, PhD; Paul André Solberg⁷, PhD; Torbjørn Wisløff⁸, PhD; Adam Philip Sharples¹, PhD; Truls Raastad¹, PhD; Kristina Hermann Haugaa^{2,3}, MD, PhD; Lene Thorsen^{4,9}, PhD

⁴National Advisory Unit on Late Effects after Cancer Treatment, Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁶Department of Behavioural Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁷Norwegian Olympic and Paralympic Committee and Confederation of Sports, Oslo, Norway

*these authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Tormod Skogstad Nilsen, PhD Department of Physical Performance The Norwegian School of Sport Sciences Postbox 4014 Oslo, 0806 Norway Phone: 47 95069857 Email: <u>tormodsn@nih.no</u>

Related Article:

This is a corrected version. See correction statement in: <u>https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e54462/</u>

Abstract

RenderX

Background: Anthracycline-based chemotherapy has been mainstay of adjuvant breast cancer therapy for decades. Although effective, anthracyclines place long-term breast cancer survivors at risk of late effects, such as reduced cardiorespiratory fitness and increased risk of cardiovascular disease. Previous research has shown beneficial effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness, but the effects of exercise on limiting factors for cardiorespiratory fitness, cardiovascular risk factors, and patient-reported outcomes in long-term survivors are less clear. Whether previous exposure to breast cancer therapy modulates the effects of exercise is also unknown.

Objective: The primary aim of the CAUSE (Cardiovascular Survivors Exercise) trial is to examine the effect of aerobic exercise on cardiorespiratory fitness in anthracycline-treated long-term breast cancer survivors. Secondary aims are to examine effects of exercise training on limiting factors for cardiorespiratory fitness, cardiovascular risk factors, and patient-reported outcomes, and to compare baseline values and effects of exercise training between similar-aged women with and those without prior breast cancer. A third aim is to examine the 24-month postintervention effects of aerobic exercise on primary and secondary outcomes.

Methods: The CAUSE trial is a 2-armed randomized controlled trial, where 140 long-term breast cancer survivors, 8-12 years post diagnosis, are assigned to a 5-month nonlinear aerobic exercise program with 3 weekly sessions or to standard care. Seventy

¹Department of Physical Performance, The Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway

²Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

³ProCardio Center for Innovation, Department of Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁵Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁸Health Services Research Unit, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway

⁹Department for Clinical Service, Division of Cancer Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

similar-aged women with no history of cancer will undergo the same exercise program. Cardiorespiratory fitness measured as peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2peak}), limiting factors for VO_{2peak} (eg, cardiac function, pulmonary function, hemoglobin mass, blood volume, and skeletal muscle characteristics), cardiovascular risk factors (eg, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, physical activity level, and smoking status), and patient-reported outcomes (eg, body image, fatigue, mental health, and health-related quality of life) will be assessed at baseline, post intervention, and 24 months post intervention.

Results: A total of 209 patients were included from October 2020 to August 2022, and postintervention assessments were completed in January 2023. The 24-month follow-up will be completed in February 2025.

Conclusions: The findings from the CAUSE trial will provide novel scientific understanding of the potential benefits of exercise training in long-term breast cancer survivors.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04307407; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04307407

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): DERR1-10.2196/45244

(JMIR Res Protoc 2023;12:e45244) doi: 10.2196/45244

KEYWORDS

breast cancer; cardiooncology; cardiorespiratory fitness; exercise medicine

Introduction

Background

Due to advances in diagnostics and treatment, the 5-year survival rate for early breast cancer has surpassed 90% in the Western world, and the number of breast cancer survivors (BCSs) is steadily increasing. Long-term BCS (ie, living beyond 5 years after diagnosis) are at increased risk of several late effects, such as increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and incidence cardiovascular (CV) risk factors (eg, obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia) [1,2].

Cardiotoxicity is a well-recognized adverse effect of anthracycline therapy, which has been a key component of breast cancer therapy for decades. Anthracycline treatment can induce myocardial damage [3,4] and lead to irreversible left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure [5]. Although the incidence of manifested anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity after breast cancer treatment is approximately 2%-5% [6,7], the incidence of subclinical left ventricular dysfunction may be significantly higher [8].

Previous studies have demonstrated impaired cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in BCSs [9,10]. CRF is an integrative measure of global cardiopulmonary and skeletal muscle function assessed as peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2peak}). Reduced CRF is not only an expression of impaired physical functional capacity but is also associated with a greater risk of CVD [11,12]. Cardiac output, pulmonary function, blood oxygen carrying capacity, and peripheral arteriovenous oxygen extraction capacity are physiological determinants of CRF, also termed as limiting factors for CRF. Given the systemic effect of chemotherapy, anthracycline-induced late effects may not be limited to cardiotoxicity, but likely affects the entire cardiopulmonary-muscle axis [13]. In line with this perspective, studies have reported reduced microvessel density, impaired mitochondrial function, and reduced skeletal muscle cell size during anthracycline treatment of breast cancer [14]. To our knowledge, no study has investigated if such adverse effects are present in long-term BCS.

BCS may also face psychosocial late effects, including body image concerns, increased levels of mental distress [15], and chronic fatigue [16,17], potentially negatively affecting their work life and reducing their health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [18,19].

Aerobic exercise has shown to improve CRF in cancer survivors [20], but knowledge on the effects of aerobic exercise on limiting factors for CRF is sparse. To the best of our knowledge, there are no existing data on the effects of exercise training on cardiac morphology and function after anthracycline exposure in long-term cancer survivors.

In nononcological settings, higher levels of physical activity are associated with a dramatic reduction in CVD risk [21]. Studies evaluating such effects among cancer survivors are limited [22]. Adams et al [23] reported improved vascular function and CRF and lower low-density lipoprotein and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein after 12 weeks of supervised high intensity aerobic exercise in survivors of testicular cancer, and similar data on BCS are missing. Finally, exercise training has also been shown to improve mental distress and fatigue [24], and improve HRQoL [25,26] in patients with cancer, but research has mainly been conducted during or shortly after treatment.

Aims and Hypothesis

The primary aim of this study is to examine the effect of aerobic exercise on CRF in anthracycline-treated long-term BCS compared to standard care. Secondary aims are to examine the effects of exercise training on limiting factors for CRF, CV risk factors, and health-related patient-reported outcomes (PROMs) compared to standard care, and to compare baseline values and effects of exercise training between BCS and similar-aged women without prior cancer. Tertiary aims are to investigate long-term effects of the intervention on CRF, CV risk factors, and health-related outcomes 24 months post intervention. We hypothesize that aerobic exercise will improve CRF.

Methods


Ethics Approval

The CAUSE (Cardiovascular Survivors Exercise) trial is conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration, and all study participants will sign informed consent before any study-related procedures. The study is approved by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2019/1318) and is preregistered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04307407).

Design

The CAUSE trial is a 2-armed, phase II randomized controlled trial that compares aerobic exercise (exercise group) to standard care (control group) in BCS. A third group comprising similar-aged women with no previous cancer diagnosis is also included (noncancer reference group). The exercise group and the noncancer reference group undergoes the same aerobic exercise program. The overall study design is outlined in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Study outline. Participants in the exercise group, control group, and noncancer reference group undergoes assessments at baseline (T1), after 5 months (post intervention) (T2), and 1 and 2 years post intervention (T3 and T4).



Participants

BCS Group

BCS are invited to participate if diagnosed with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2

-negative breast cancer stage I-III between 2008 and 2012 (ie, 8-14 years after diagnosis), aged 60 years or younger at the time of diagnosis, and received the anthracycline epirubicin as part of their adjuvant therapy. All eligibility criteria are listed in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Eligibility criteria for breast cancer survivors in the CAUSE (Cardiovascular Survivors Exercise) trial.

- Adjuvant treatment with epirubicin
- Breast cancer diagnosis between 2008 and 2012
- Exercises ≤90 minutes per week
- Physicians' approval of participation

Exclusion criteria:

- Stage IV breast cancer at diagnosis
- Treatment with trastuzumab
- Recurrence of breast cancer
- Presence of other malignancies (except for basal cell carcinomas)
- Former major cardiac surgery
- Presence of a pacemaker
- Chronic atrial fibrillation
- Recent or uncontrolled cardiovascular disease
- Any physical or mental condition restricting adherence to study procedures (by self-evaluation)

Noncancer Reference Group

Participants in the noncancer reference group are women without a history of cancer who otherwise meet the eligibility criteria listed in Textbox 1.

Recruitment

Women who were diagnosed with breast cancer stage I-III between 2008 and 2012, and live within reasonable distance from Oslo, are identified from the Cancer Registry of Norway. An invitation with study information is sent by mail to all candidates. Thereafter, the study personnel contact the candidates by phone to screen for eligibility and provide additional information. Candidates who meet the eligibility criteria and are willing to participate are then invited to baseline assessments.

Participants for the noncancer reference group are recruited in 2 steps. First, BCS randomized to the exercise group are encouraged to invite a similar-aged friend or relative to participate in the noncancer reference group. The remaining participants in the noncancer reference group are recruited through advertisements in news media and through appropriate social media channels. Interested candidates who meet the eligibility criteria are included.

Randomization

BCS are block randomized with a 1:1 allocation ratio to the exercise group or to the control group. Due to the strict eligibility criteria, no additional stratification factors are included. A random sequence of study IDs was made by a random number generator prior to inclusion of the first participant. The allocation sequence is concealed from the study personnel involved in baseline assessment until the baseline assessments are completed.

Assessments

Overview

Study outcomes, assessment methods, and assessment times are summarized in Table 1 and described in detail below. Primary outcome is CRF assessed by VO_{2peak} . Secondary outcomes are limiting factors for VO_{2peak} , CV risk factors, and PROMs. To evaluate long-term effects of the intervention, primary and secondary outcomes are assessed at 24 months (T₄) postintervention. Some PROMs are also assessed at 12 months (T₃) postintervention.

All participants are screened by a cardiologist before the assessments. Presence of possible contraindications to exercise training will be evaluated according to the guidelines from the American College of Sports Medicine. [27].



Nilsen et al

Table 1. Outcomes, assessments, and time point of assessments in the CAUSE (Cardiovascular Survivors Exercise) trial.

Domain and outcomes	Assessment method	Time point of assessment
Primary outcome: cardiorespiratory fitness		
Peak oxygen consumption	Treadmill cardiopulmonary exercise test	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Secondary outcomes		
Limiting factors for cardiorespiratory fitness		
Cardiac function		
Cardiac dimensions	Echocardiography	T_1, T_2, T_4
LV ^a and RV ^b systolic function	Echocardiography	T_1, T_2, T_4
LV diastolic function	Echocardiography	T_1, T_2, T_4
NT-proBNP ^c	Blood sample	T_1, T_2, T_4
Blood oxygen transport capacity		
Hemoglobin mass	Carbon monoxide rebreathing method	T_1, T_2, T_4
Hemoglobin concentration and blood volume	Venous blood sample and carbon monoxide rebreathing method	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Skeletal muscle characteristics		
Fiber size	Immunohistochemistry	T_1, T_2, T_4
Fiber composition	Immunohistochemistry	T_1, T_2, T_4
Capillaries per fiber	Immunohistochemistry	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Mitochondrial proteins	Western blotting	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Proteins involved in β-oxidation	Western blotting	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Gene expression	Methylome wide arrays	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Pulmonary function		
Forced vital capacity	Spirometry	T_1, T_2, T_4
Forced expiratory volume in 1 second	Spirometry	T_1, T_2, T_4
Ventilatory capacity	Estimated from FEV ₁ ^d x 40 or MVV ^e	T_1, T_2, T_4
DLco ^f for carbon monoxide	DLco test	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
DLco/alveolar volume	DLco test	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Total Lung Capacity-SB	DLco test	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Cardiovascular risk factors		
Hypertension		
Blood pressure	Electronic sphygmomanometer	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Metabolic dysfunction		
Fasted glucose, HbA _{1c} ^g , insulin	Blood sample	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Lipids	Blood sample	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
High-sensitivity C-reactive protein	Blood sample	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Obesity		
BMI	Scale and stadiometer	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Lean body mass	Dual x-ray absorptiometry	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Fat body mass	Dual x-ray absorptiometry	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e45244

XSL•FO RenderX JMIR Res Protoc 2023 | vol. 12 | e45244 | p. 5 (page number not for citation purposes)

Nilsen et al

omain and outcomes	Assessment method	Time point of assessment
Unhealthy lifestyle		
Level of physical activity	ActiGraph GT3X+	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Smoking status	HUNT-4 ^h	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Patient-reported outcomes		
Lifestyle		
Physical activity and Exercise training	HUNT-4 and GLTEQ ⁱ [28]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Diet	HUNT-4	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₃ , T
Sleep habits	Modified HUNT-4	$T_1, T_2, T_4{}^j$
Work aspects	WAI ^k	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Health, QoL ¹ and late effects		
Comorbidity	Modified HUNT-4	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
HRQoL ^m	EORTC ⁿ QLQ ^o -C30 [29]	T_1, T_2, T_4
QoL and Vitality	SWLS ^p [30] SVS ^q [31]	T_1, T_2, T_4
Breast cancer-specific symptoms and complaints ^r	EORTC QLQ-BR23 [32]	T_1, T_2, T_4
Neuropathy	SCIN ^s [33]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Fatigue	Chalder FQ ^t [34]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Anxiety	GAD ^u 7 [35]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄
Depression symptoms	PHQ ^v 9 [36]	
Fear of recurrence	ASC ^w [37]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₃ , T
Training motivation and mastery		
Training motivation	BREQ ^x 2 [38]	$T_1, T_2, T_4{}^j$
Exercise competence	PCS ^y [39]	T ₁ , T ₂ , T ₄

Nilsen et al

Domain and outcomes	Assessment method	Time point of assessment
Training need satisfaction ^Z	BPNES ^{aa} [40]	T_1, T_2, T_4^{j}
^a LV: left ventricular.		
^b RV: right ventricular.		
^c NT-proBNP: N-terminal fragment of brain natriuretic pept	ide.	
^d FEV ₁ : forced expiratory volume in 1 second.		
^e MVV: maximal voluntary ventilation.		
^f DLco: diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide.		
^g HbA _{1c} : glycated hemoglobin.		
^h HUNT-4: The Trøndelag Health.		
ⁱ GLTEQ: Godin leisure time exercise questionnaire.		
$^{j}4$ and 12 weeks post T _{1.}		
^k WAI: Work Ability Index.		
¹ QoL: quality of life.		
^m HRQoL: health-related quality of life.		
ⁿ EORTC: European Organization for Research and Treatme	ent of Cancer.	
^o QLQ: quality of life questionnaire.		
^p SWLS: satisfaction with life scale.		
^q SVS: subjective vitality scale.		
^r Body image, sexuality, lymphoedema, and pain.		
^s SCIN: scale for chemotherapy-induced long-term neurotov	cicity.	
^t FQ: fatigue questionnaire.		
^u GAD: generalized anxiety disorder.		
^v PHQ: patient health questionnaire.		
^w ASC: assessment of survivors concerns.		
^x BREQ-2: Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire	e-2.	
^y PCS: perceived competence scale.		
^z Exercise groups only.		
^{aa} BPNES: Basic Need Satisfaction in Exercise Scale.		

Primary Outcome

CRF

RenderX

 VO_{2peak} is assessed by an incremental treadmill cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) using a modified Balke protocol (RL2700E, Rodby) [41]. The participants are briefly familiarized to treadmill walking prior to the test. The test is continued until voluntary exhaustion despite encouragement by the test leaders. Continuous expired gases are measured breath-by-breath using a gas and volume calibrated metabolic chart (Oxycon Pro, Jaeger GmbH). The highest volume of oxygen consumed across a 30-second period is registered as VO_{2peak} . All exercise tests will be supervised by an exercise physiologist and observed by a cardiologist, following standard clinical guidelines [42].

Secondary Outcomes

Limiting Factors for CRF

The following limiting factors for CRF were will be considered:

 Cardiac function: cardiac morphology and function will be assessed by resting transthoracic echocardiography using Vivid E9 (GE Vingmed). Standard 2D parasternal and apical views are acquired in the end-expiratory phase with participants in the supine left lateral position. Apical 3D

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e45244

recordings will be obtained by stitching together minimum 4 consecutive heart cycles. In addition, left ventricular outflow tract velocity time integral will be obtained immediately after the CPET to estimate maximal stroke volume and cardiac output. Images will be subsequently analyzed offline on EchoPac version 202 (GE Vingmed). Echocardiographic outcomes (listed in Table 1) are measured as recommended by American Society of Echocardiography and European Society of Cardiology [43]. Left ventricular myocardial work will be estimated by a noninvasive method using pressure-strain loop analysis [44].

Pulmonary function: ventilatory function will be assessed by maximal expiratory flow volume loops (MasterScreen Pneumo Jäger) [45]. The best forced expiratory volume in 1 second and forced vital capacity after 3 acceptable trials will be recorded. Ventilatory capacity, for calculation of breathing reserve during CPET, will be measured by a Maximum Voluntary Ventilation test while breathing as hard and fast as possible for 10 seconds in standing position. Best of 2 maximal attempts will be recorded. The diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLco) will be measured using the single-breath method, adjusted for serum hemoglobin levels. The average of 2 satisfying

attempts will be evaluated for DLco, DLco/alveolar volume (carbon monoxide transfer coefficient), and total lung capacity. All measurements are in accordance with guidelines from The European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society [45,46]. The Global Lung Function Initiative reference equations are used, and the outcomes are expressed as absolute values, percent predicted, and *z* scores [47-49].

- Blood oxygen transport capacity: hemoglobin mass will be measured using a carbon monoxide (CO) rebreathing method [50]. First, the participant will be seated for 10 minutes, before capillary blood sampling in two 125-µL preheparinized tubes (Clinitubes; Radiometer). Thereafter, the participant will inhale a bolus of 1 mL/kg body weight of chemically pure CO (AGA Norge) administered via a spirometer (Blood tec GmbH). In a closed circuit, the CO will be rebreathed for 2 minutes together with 3 L of pure oxygen (AGA Norge). Two capillary fingertip blood samples will be collected, 6 and 8 minutes following the administration of CO. All blood samples will be immediately analyzed in duplicate for percent carboxyhemoglobin (ABL80 CO-OX FLEX, Radiometer). Hemoglobin mass will be calculated by dilution of CO in blood with correction for loss of CO to myoglobin (0.3% of the administered CO per minute). Blood volume, plasma volume, and red cell volume will be calculated from hemoglobin mass using venous hemoglobin and venous hematocrit.
- Skeletal muscle characteristics: muscle biopsies will be obtained from vastus lateralis muscle by the Bergström Needle-biopsy technique according to standard procedures established in our laboratory [51]. Muscle fiber size and composition and capillary density will be assessed via immunohistochemistry. The content of mitochondrial proteins and proteins involved in β-oxidation is assessed by western blotting [51]. A subgroup of the muscle biopsies will be processed for RNA and DNA extraction. Methylome wide arrays (eg, 850,000 CpG sites, Infinium Methylation EPIC BeadChip arrays, Illumina, or genome-wide bisulfite sequencing) and transcriptome technology (eg, U133 plus 2.0 array or Claricom S HT Human Array or RNA sequencing) will be applied to assess the expression of epigenetically regulated genes.

CV Risk Factors

Resting blood pressure will be measured by an electronic sphygmomanometer (Welch Allyn ProBP 2400) in supine position after echocardiography. Biomarkers listed in Table 1 will be analyzed in accordance with established procedures at Fürst Laboratories from blood samples obtained in a fasted state. Height and weight will be measured by the same scale and stadiometer at all time points (SECA 213). Absolute and relative content of fat mass and lean body mass will be assessed by whole-body dual x-ray absorptiometry (Lunar iDXA, GE Healthcare) in a fasted state. Daily level of physical activity will be measured by accelerometers, using ActiGraph model GT3X+ (ActiGraph LLC). Participants will be instructed to wear accelerometers on the right hip for 7 consecutive days after completion of baseline assessments and during the last week of the intervention period. Smoking status will be recorded.

Patient-Reported Outcomes

An overview of the PROMs is presented in Table 1. Sociodemographic variables including marital status, number of children living at home, and education level will be recorded using the same or modified questions from the HUNT-4 (The Trøndelag Health) study [52]. Lifestyle variables include physical activity and exercise measured by questions from HUNT-4 study and Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire [28], and information on diet, tobacco, alcohol, and sleep habits will be measured by the same or modified questions from the HUNT-4 study. Work aspects will be measured as in the HUNT-4 study and by the Work Ability Index (Finnish Institute of Occupational Health. Work Ability Index, 2006). Information comorbidities, HRQoL, and general and breast on cancer-specific late effects will be measured using a modified version of that used in the HUNT-4 study, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaires (EORTC QLQ C-30 and EORTC QLQ BR-28), including the breast cancer module [29], and the Satisfaction With Life Scale [30,32]. Neuropathy will be assessed by the scale for chemotherapy-induced long-term neurotoxicity [33], fatigue by the Chalder Fatigue Questionnaire [34], vitality by the Subjective Vitality Scale [31], anxiety by Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7 items [35], and depressive symptoms by a modified version of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [36]. Fear of recurrence is measured by Assessment of Survivors Concerns questionnaire [37].

Motivation for exercise will be measured by the Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire-2 [38] and sense of exercise mastery by Perceived Competence Scale [39]. In the exercise group only, experience with the instructor and exercise program will be measured by the short version of the Health Care Climate Questionnaire [53] and Basic Need Satisfaction in Exercise Scale [40].

Intervention

The intervention duration is 5 months. Participants in the exercise group perform 3 weekly aerobic exercise sessions aimed at increasing CRF. The exercise plan includes both continuous sessions, with low to moderate exercise intensities, as well as interval sessions performed at higher intensities. The intensity and duration of each individual session and sequencing of the different sessions are outlined in Figure 2. Importantly, the sessions will be scheduled so that the weekly amount of exercise is progressively increased across the intervention period, both in intensity and duration [54]. The preferred exercise modality is inclined treadmill walking or running. The exercise prescription is individually tailored to each participant based on their CPET result.




Figure 2. Exercise prescription outline. Bars represents the exercise intensity (% of peak heart rate) at a given exercise session, and the dotted line represents the duration (minutes) at the prescribed exercise intensity in a given session.

In Figure 2, the intensity of each session is depicted by the colored bars as a percentage of the mean peak heart rate (HR_{peak}) for the session. "Black sessions" are performed at 65% of HR_{peak} for 25-40 minutes (n=13), "light blue sessions" are performed at 75% of HR_{peak} for 30 minutes (n=17), and "green sessions" are performed at 83.5% of HR_{peak} for 30 minutes (n=2). "Dark blue sessions" are performed as three to five 4-minute intervals at 93.5% of HR_{peak} with 3 minutes active rest (brisk walking) between intervals (n=16), and "purple sessions" are performed as two to four 8-minute intervals at 88% of $\mathrm{HR}_{\mathrm{peak}}$ with 4 minutes active rest (brisk walking) between intervals (n=8). Orange bars represent sessions where the CPET exercise protocol is repeated and are conducted every fourth week (n=4). The treadmill speed and grade at voluntary exhaustion at the CPET protocol sessions will be recorded and used to monitor and report training progression throughout the intervention.

All exercise sessions will be supervised by an instructor at the participant's local gym. The instructors make sure that the planned exercise sessions are performed and adjust the sessions if necessary. They will also register any deviation from the exercise prescription and report the reason for any adjustment following metrics suggested by Nilsen et al [55]. Adverse events will be reported to the study staff. To increase exercise adherence and educate the instructors, the instructors will be encouraged to undergo a series of web-based seminars. They will be introduced to the rationale for the study, behavior change principles, and how to identify facilitators and barriers to exercise.

BCS randomized to the control group will be encouraged to maintain their current activity level at the time of randomization.

Statistical Analysis

RenderX

The sample size calculation was based on the primary outcome VO_{2peak} , using a mean change of 3.6 (SD 7.2) mL/kg/minute, from a comparable intervention by Adams et al [23]. With a significance level of 5% and a power of 90% (to compensate for using published results from a single study in our sample

```
https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e45244
```

size calculation), 63 BCS are needed in each group. To allow for a dropout rate of 7 participants (10%), a total of 70 BCS are included in each group. The same number of participants are included in the reference group. The primary and secondary outcomes are analyzed by generalized linear mixed models. Baseline outcomes in women with and without previous cancer are compared by a 2-sample *t* test.

Results

The inclusion started in October 2020 and was completed in August 2022. A total of 209 participants were included and postintervention assessments (T_2) were completed in January 2023. The 24-month follow-up (T_4) will be completed in February 2025.

Discussion

Exercise training has previously been shown to improve CRF [20], but there is a paucity of studies investigating the effects of exercise training on other CVD risk factors following cancer treatment [22]. The CAUSE-trial will provide a novel understanding of the potential of exercise training to improve CRF, CV risk profile, and self-reported health-related outcomes in long-term BCS. Since impaired CRF has been reported in cancer survivors [9,10], it is pivotal to understand how previous exposure to cancer treatment modulates the effects of exercise. We hypothesize that previous exposure to cancer treatment, even 10 years ago, would have an impact on the expected results from exercise training. This study will expand on the current literature by thoroughly evaluating exercise effects across the entire cardiopulmonary-muscle axis, and by including an exercise group without a history of cancer. This allows us to directly compare baseline levels and intervention effects between women previously exposed to cancer therapy and unexposed women, and to identify potential bottlenecks to physiological adaptation to exercise training.

As with CRF, the positive effects of exercise training on several PROMs have been reported previously, but the existing literature is mainly limited to effects of exercise training during or shortly after cancer treatment. This is concerning, since approximately 30% of BCS still report chronic fatigue up to 10 years after completing treatment. Results from exercise training performed during or immediately after cancer treatment cannot necessarily be extrapolated to long-term survivors as the mechanisms behind chronic fatigue might differ from the more acute complaints. Although positive effects of exercise training on quality of life already have been demonstrated, less is known about the impact of exercise on other late effects in long-term BCS, such as mental distress, disturbed body image, pain, and sexual health challenges.

One of the major strengths of this study is the solid multidisciplinary constellation of the research group. Our group includes sports physiologists, cardiologists, oncologists, and behavioral scientists. This is also reflected by the list of study outcomes (Table 1) ranging from cardiopulmonary function to skeletal muscle cell function and morphology, and quality of life. Combined with a well-monitored, individually tailored exercise intervention, inclusion of a noncancer reference group,

large study cohorts, and longtime follow-up, this will allow for exploring interactions across disciplines.

Our study design has some potential limitations. As with any lifestyle intervention study, we risk recruiting the most motivated and physically active participants, which may compromise the external validity of our results. We aim to reduce this risk of selection bias by recruiting BCS through the Cancer Registry of Norway rather than through media advertisements. Importantly, we use similar exclusion criteria for physical activity levels between cancer survivors and the reference groups, which enable us to identify any modifying effects of previous cancer treatment. Unfortunately, this study lacks completely blinded assessors. As an effort to reduce the influence of known group allocation, assessors will not know the baseline values of participants prior to the postintervention CPET. Furthermore, study staff will use similar feedback and encouragement during all tests. As in all clinical trials, poor intervention adherence and dropouts are major concerns. We attempt to counteract this by using instructors trained in motivational feedback. The knowledge acquired from this study will help to inform future cancer care guidelines.

Acknowledgments

The study is funded by the Norwegian Cancer Society and Aktiv mot kreft (Active Against Cancer). All authors were actively involved in the drafting and critical revision of the manuscript.

Data Availability

The data sets generated during and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Peer review report from the Norwegian Cancer Society's Krafttak mot kreft 2018 / Norwegian Cancer Society. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 15 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

- Armenian SH, Xu L, Ky B, Sun C, Farol LT, Pal SK, et al. Cardiovascular disease among survivors of adult-onset cancer: a community-based retrospective cohort study. J Clin Oncol 2016;34(10):1122-1130 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.64.0409] [Medline: 26834065]
- Tai E, Buchanan N, Townsend J, Fairley T, Moore A, Richardson LC. Health status of adolescent and young adult cancer survivors. Cancer 2012;118(19):4884-4891 [doi: <u>10.1002/pon.1733</u>] [Medline: <u>20238374</u>]
- 3. Von Hoff DD, Layard MW, Basa P, Davis HL, Von Hoff AL, Rozencweig M, et al. Risk factors for doxorubicin-induced congestive heart failure. Ann Intern Med 1979;91(5):710-717 [doi: <u>10.7326/0003-4819-91-5-710</u>] [Medline: <u>496103</u>]
- 4. Swain SM, Whaley FS, Ewer MS. Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: a retrospective analysis of three trials. Cancer 2003;97(11):2869-2879 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/cncr.11407] [Medline: 12767102]
- 5. Ewer MS, Lenihan DJ. Left ventricular ejection fraction and cardiotoxicity: is our ear really to the ground? J Clin Oncol 2008;26(8):1201-1203 [doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.14.8742] [Medline: 18227525]
- Henry ML, Niu J, Zhang N, Giordano SH, Chavez-MacGregor M. Cardiotoxicity and cardiac monitoring among chemotherapy-treated breast cancer patients. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2018;11(8):1084-1093 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.06.005] [Medline: 30092967]
- Jacobse JN, Schaapveld M, Boekel NB, Hooning MJ, Jager A, Baaijens MHA, et al. Risk of heart failure after systemic treatment for early breast cancer: results of a cohort study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2021;185(1):205-214 [doi: 10.1007/s10549-020-05930-w] [Medline: 32964358]

- 8. Witteles RM, Fowler MB, Telli ML. Chemotherapy-associated cardiotoxicity: how often does it really occur and how can it be prevented? Heart Fail Clin 2011;7(3):333-344 [doi: 10.1016/j.hfc.2011.03.005] [Medline: 21749885]
- Jones LW, Courneya KS, Mackey JR, Muss HB, Pituskin EN, Scott JM, et al. Cardiopulmonary function and age-related decline across the breast cancer survivorship continuum. J Clin Oncol 2012;30(20):2530-2537 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.39.9014] [Medline: 22614980]
- Lakoski SG, Barlow CE, Koelwyn GJ, Hornsby WE, Hernandez J, Defina LF, et al. The influence of adjuvant therapy on cardiorespiratory fitness in early-stage breast cancer seven years after diagnosis: the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013;138(3):909-916 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2478-1] [Medline: 23504137]
- 11. Jones LW, Haykowsky M, Pituskin EN, Jendzjowsky NG, Tomczak CR, Haennel RG, et al. Cardiovascular reserve and risk profile of postmenopausal women after chemoendocrine therapy for hormone receptor--positive operable breast cancer. Oncologist 2007;12(10):1156-1164 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.12-10-1156] [Medline: 17962609]
- West MA, Lythgoe D, Barben CP, Noble L, Kemp GJ, Jack S, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise variables are associated with postoperative morbidity after major colonic surgery: a prospective blinded observational study. Br J Anaesth 2014;112(4):665-671 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/bja/aet408] [Medline: 24322573]
- 13. Jones LW, Haykowsky MJ, Swartz JJ, Douglas PS, Mackey JR. Early breast cancer therapy and cardiovascular injury. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50(15):1435-1441 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.06.037] [Medline: 17919562]
- Mijwel S, Cardinale DA, Norrbom J, Chapman M, Ivarsson N, Wengström Y, et al. Exercise training during chemotherapy preserves skeletal muscle fiber area, capillarization, and mitochondrial content in patients with breast cancer. FASEB J 2018;32(10):5495-5505 [doi: <u>10.1096/fj.201700968R</u>] [Medline: <u>29750574</u>]
- Syrowatka A, Motulsky A, Kurteva S, Hanley JA, Dixon WG, Meguerditchian AN, et al. Predictors of distress in female breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2017;165(2):229-245 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4290-9] [Medline: 28553684]
- Reinertsen KV, Cvancarova M, Loge JH, Edvardsen H, Wist E, Fosså SD. Predictors and course of chronic fatigue in long-term breast cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv 2010;4(4):405-414 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11764-010-0145-7] [Medline: 20862614]
- 17. Bower JE, Meyerowitz BE, Desmond KA, Bernaards CA, Rowland JH, Ganz PA. Perceptions of positive meaning and vulnerability following breast cancer: predictors and outcomes among long-term breast cancer survivors. Ann Behav Med 2005;29(3):236-245 [doi: 10.1207/s15324796abm2903_10] [Medline: 15946118]
- Bloom JR, Petersen DM, Kang SH. Multi-dimensional quality of life among long-term (5+ years) adult cancer survivors. Psychooncology 2007;16(8):691-706 [doi: 10.1002/pon.1208] [Medline: 17628036]
- Doege D, Thong MS, Koch-Gallenkamp L, Bertram H, Eberle A, Holleczek B, et al. Health-related quality of life in long-term disease-free breast cancer survivors versus female population controls in Germany. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2019;175(2):499-510 [doi: <u>10.1007/s10549-019-05188-x</u>] [Medline: <u>30826935</u>]
- 20. Scott JM, Zabor EC, Schwitzer E, Koelwyn GJ, Adams SC, Nilsen TS, et al. Efficacy of exercise therapy on cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Oncol 2018;36(22):2297-2305 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.77.5809] [Medline: 29894274]
- 21. Morris JN, Heady JA, Raffle PA, Roberts CG, Parks JW. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of work. Lancet 1953;262(6795):1053-1057 [doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(53)90665-5] [Medline: 13110049]
- 22. Scott JM, Nilsen TS, Gupta D, Jones LW. Exercise therapy and cardiovascular toxicity in cancer. Circulation 2018;137(11):1176-1191 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.024671] [Medline: 29530893]
- 23. Adams SC, DeLorey DS, Davenport MH, Stickland MK, Fairey AS, North S, et al. Effects of high-intensity aerobic interval training on cardiovascular disease risk in testicular cancer survivors: a phase 2 randomized controlled trial. Cancer 2017;123(20):4057-4065 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/cncr.30859] [Medline: 28708930]
- 24. Mustian KM, Alfano CM, Heckler C, Kleckner AS, Kleckner IR, Leach CR, et al. Comparison of pharmaceutical, psychological, and exercise treatments for cancer-related fatigue: a meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol 2017;3(7):961-968 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.6914] [Medline: 28253393]
- 25. Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, May AM, Schwartz AL, Courneya KS, et al. Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2019;51(11):2375-2390 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000002116] [Medline: 31626055]
- 26. Di Meglio A, Soldato D, Presti D, Vaz-Luis I. Lifestyle and quality of life in patients with early-stage breast cancer receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy. Curr Opin Oncol 2021;33(6):553-573 [doi: <u>10.1097/CCO.000000000000781</u>] [Medline: <u>34456250</u>]
- Riebe D, Franklin BA, Thompson PD, Garber CE, Whitfield GP, Magal M, et al. Updating ACSM's recommendations for exercise preparticipation health screening. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015;47(11):2473-2479 [doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000664] [Medline: 26473759]
- 28. Godin G, Jobin J, Bouillon J. Assessment of leisure time exercise behavior by self-report: a concurrent validity study. Can J Public Health 1986;77(5):359-362 [Medline: <u>3791117</u>]

- 29. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85(5):365-376 [doi: 10.1093/jnci/85.5.365] [Medline: 8433390]
- 30. Pavot W, Diener E. The affective and cognitive context of self-reported measures of subjective well-being. Soc Indic Res 1993;28(1):1-20 [doi: 10.1007/Bf01086714]
- 31. Ryan RM, Frederick C. On energy, personality, and health: subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. J Pers 1997;65(3):529-565 [doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x] [Medline: 9327588]
- 32. Nguyen J, Popovic M, Chow E, Cella D, Beaumont JL, Chu D, et al. EORTC QLQ-BR23 and FACT-B for the assessment of quality of life in patients with breast cancer: a literature review. J Comp Eff Res 2015;4(2):157-166 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2217/cer.14.76] [Medline: 25825844]
- Oldenburg J, Fosså SD, Dahl AA. Scale for chemotherapy-induced long-term neurotoxicity (SCIN): psychometrics, validation, and findings in a large sample of testicular cancer survivors. Qual Life Res 2006;15(5):791-800 [doi: 10.1007/s11136-005-5370-6] [Medline: 16721639]
- 34. Chalder T, Berelowitz G, Pawlikowska T, Watts L, Wessely S, Wright D, et al. Development of a fatigue scale. J Psychosom Res 1993;37(2):147-153 [doi: 10.1016/0022-3999(93)90081-p] [Medline: 8463991]
- 35. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med 2006;166(10):1092-1097 [doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092] [Medline: 16717171]
- 36. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16(9):606-613 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x] [Medline: 11556941]
- 37. Gotay CC, Pagano IS. Assessment of survivor concerns (ASC): a newly proposed brief questionnaire. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2007;5:15 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-5-15] [Medline: 17352831]
- 38. Mullan E, Markland D, Ingledew DK. A graded conceptualisation of self-determination in the regulation of exercise behaviour: development of a measure using confirmatory factor analytic procedures. Pers Individ Differ 1997;23(5):745-752
- 39. Williams GC, Deci EL. Internalization of biopsychosocial values by medical students: a test of self-determination theory. J Pers Soc Psychol 1996;70(4):767-779 [doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.70.4.767] [Medline: 8636897]
- 40. Vlachopoulos SP, Michailidou S. Development and initial validation of a measure of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in exercise: the basic psychological needs in exercise scale. Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci 2006;10(3):179-201
- 41. Balke B, Ware RW. An experimental study of physical fitness of Air Force personnel. U S Armed Forces Med J 1959;10(6):675-688 [Medline: <u>13659732</u>]
- 42. Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, Myers J, Coke L, Fletcher GF, American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; et al. Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Clinician's guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2010;122(2):191-225 [doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181e52e69] [Medline: 20585013]
- 43. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;16(3):233-270 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev014] [Medline: 25712077]
- 44. Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Remme EW, et al. A novel clinical method for quantification of regional left ventricular pressure-strain loop area: a non-invasive index of myocardial work. Eur Heart J 2012;33(6):724-733 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs016] [Medline: 22315346]
- 45. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An Official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2019;200(8):e70-e88 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1164/rccm.201908-1590ST] [Medline: 31613151]
- 46. Graham BL, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Cooper BG, Jensen R, Kendrick A, et al. 2017 ERS/ATS standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. Eur Respir J 2017;49(1):1600016 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1183/13993003.00016-2016] [Medline: 28049168]
- 47. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, et al. ERS Global Lung Function Initiative. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J 2012;40(6):1324-1343 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1183/09031936.00080312] [Medline: 22743675]
- 48. Hall GL, Filipow N, Ruppel G, Okitika T, Thompson B, Kirkby J, et al. contributing GLI Network members. Official ERS technical standard: global lung function initiative reference values for static lung volumes in individuals of European ancestry. Eur Respir J 2021;57(3):2000289 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1183/13993003.00289-2020] [Medline: 33707167]
- Cooper BG, Stocks J, Hall GL, Culver B, Steenbruggen I, Carter KW, et al. The Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) Network: bringing the world's respiratory reference values together. Breathe (Sheff) 2017;13(3):e56-e64 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1183/20734735.012717] [Medline: 28955406]
- 50. Schmidt W, Prommer N. The optimised CO-rebreathing method: a new tool to determine total haemoglobin mass routinely. Eur J Appl Physiol 2005;95(5-6):486-495 [doi: <u>10.1007/s00421-005-0050-3</u>] [Medline: <u>16222540</u>]

- Nilsen TS, Thorsen L, Fosså SD, Wiig M, Kirkegaard C, Skovlund E, et al. Effects of strength training on muscle cellular outcomes in prostate cancer patients on androgen deprivation therapy. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2016;26(9):1026-1035 [doi: 10.1111/sms.12543] [Medline: 26282343]
- 52. The HUNT study: a longitudinal population health study in Norway. Norwegian University of Science and Technology. URL: <u>https://www.ntnu.edu/hunt</u> [accessed 2023-02-10]
- 53. Williams GC, Grow VM, Freedman ZR, Ryan RM, Deci EL. Motivational predictors of weight loss and weight-loss maintenance. J Pers Soc Psychol 1996;70(1):115-126 [doi: <u>10.1037//0022-3514.70.1.115</u>] [Medline: <u>8558405</u>]
- 54. Sasso JP, Eves ND, Christensen JF, Koelwyn GJ, Scott J, Jones LW. A framework for prescription in exercise-oncology research. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2015;6(2):115-124 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12042] [Medline: 26136187]
- 55. Nilsen TS, Scott JM, Michalski M, Capaci C, Thomas S, Herndon JE, et al. Novel methods for reporting of exercise dose and adherence: an exploratory analysis. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2018;50(6):1134-1141 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001545] [Medline: 29315168]

Abbreviations

BCS: breast cancer survivor CAUSE: Cardiovascular Survivors Exercise CO: carbon monoxide CPET: cardiopulmonary exercise test CRF: cardiorespiratory fitness CV: cardiovascular CVD: cardiovascular disease DLco: diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide HR_{peak}: peak heart rate HRQoL: health-related quality of life HUNT-4: The Trøndelag Health PROM: health-related patient-reported outcome VO_{2peak}: peak oxygen consumption

Edited by T Leung; The proposal for this study was externally peer reviewed by the Norwegian Cancer Society's Krafttak mot kreft 2018 / Norwegian Cancer Society (Oslo, Norway). See the Multimedia Appendix for the peer-review report; Submitted 22.12.22; accepted 31.01.23; published 15.03.23.

Please cite as:

Nilsen TS, Sæter M, Sarvari SI, Reinertsen KV, Johansen SH, Edvardsen ER, Hallén J, Edvardsen E, Grydeland M, Kiserud CE, Lie HC, Solberg PA, Wisløff T, Sharples AP, Raastad T, Haugaa KH, Thorsen L Effects of Aerobic Exercise on Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Cardiovascular Risk Factors, and Patient-Reported Outcomes in Long-Term Breast Cancer Survivors: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial JMIR Res Protoc 2023;12:e45244 URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e45244 doi: 10.2196/45244 PMID: 36920460

©Tormod Skogstad Nilsen, Mali Sæter, Sebastian Imre Sarvari, Kristin Valborg Reinertsen, Sara Hassing Johansen, Elisabeth Rustad Edvardsen, Jostein Hallén, Elisabeth Edvardsen, May Grydeland, Cecilie Essholt Kiserud, Hanne Cathrine Lie, Paul André Solberg, Torbjørn Wisløff, Adam Philip Sharples, Truls Raastad, Kristina Hermann Haugaa, Lene Thorsen. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (https://www.researchprotocols.org), 15.03.2023. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

