Protocol

Evaluating the Acceptance and Usability of an App Promoting Weight Gain Prevention and Healthy Behaviors Among Young Women With a Family History of Breast Cancer: Protocol for an Observational Study

Mary Pegington^{1,2}, MSc; Alan Davies³, PhD; Julia Mueller⁴, PhD; Rachel Cholerton⁵, PhD; Anthony Howell^{1,2,6,7}, MBBS; D Gareth Evans^{2,6,8}, MBBS; Sacha J Howell^{1,2,6,7}, MBBS, PhD; David P French^{5,6}, PhD; Michelle Harvie^{1,2,6}, PhD

¹Division of Cancer Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

³Division of Informatics, Imaging and Data Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁵Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁶Manchester Breast Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁸Division of Evolution, Infection and Genomics, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Julia Mueller, PhD Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit University of Cambridge Box 285 Institute of Metabolic Science Cambridge Biomedical Campus Cambridge, CB2 0QQ United Kingdom Phone: 44 01223 330315 Email: julia.mueller@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is the most common form of cancer in women, and around 20% of cases are associated with factors such as adult weight gain, overweight and obesity, and potentially modifiable health behaviors including high alcohol intake, smoking, lack of physical activity, and breastfeeding. Significant weight gain occurs between the ages of 18 and 35 years; hence, this age group could benefit from weight gain prevention interventions. Population studies have reported that women at increased risk of breast cancer account for a disproportionate amount of cases. Thus, there is a particular need to target weight gain prevention and other health behaviors interventions for women at increased risk. A literature review identified no evidence-based apps that cover all relevant health behaviors. With patient and participant involvement from the target population, we have developed a new app to promote healthy behaviors among young women at increased risk of breast cancer. Alongside the app, a Facebook group provides peer support, and a virtual welcome event provides an overview of the project and the opportunity to meet the research team and other study participants. The aim of the intervention is to prevent weight gain via changes to eating habits and physical activity levels, and improve other health behaviors associated with breast cancer. The app includes goal setting and self-monitoring of health behaviors and provides education about breast cancer.

Objective: This study aims to assess the acceptability and usability of the app in young women at increased risk of breast cancer, and the feasibility of the study procedures for a future, larger efficacy study.

Methods: Young women (n=35, age 18-35 years) at increased risk of breast cancer (>17% lifetime risk) will be recruited via 2 recruitment procedures: mailed invite from the local breast cancer family history, risk and prevention clinic, and advertisements on social media and websites. Participants will have access to the app and the private Facebook group for 2 months. They will complete questionnaires regarding their health behaviors and breast cancer risk belief at the start and end of the study, complete app rating scales in the middle and at the end of the study, and be invited to give feedback on the app during the study period.

²The Prevent Breast Cancer Research Unit, The Nightingale Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁴Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom

Approximately 20 participants will have a semistructured interview at the end of the study regarding their views on the app and trial procedures.

Results: The trial is ongoing, and the publication of results is anticipated in 2023.

Conclusions: The trial will provide evidence regarding the acceptability and usability of the newly developed app for young women at increased risk of breast cancer. Feedback obtained will be used to improve the app. The trial will also assess the feasibility of the study procedures and how these can be refined for a future efficacy study.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05460650; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05460650

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): PRR1-10.2196/41246

(JMIR Res Protoc 2022;11(12):e41246) doi: 10.2196/41246

KEYWORDS

breast cancer; weight; BMI; weight gain; health behavior; weight maintenance; women; app; ehealth; interview; mobile app; women's health; mHealth

Introduction

The Importance of Health Behaviors for Breast Cancer Prevention

Breast cancer is the most frequent female malignancy worldwide, with over 2 million diagnoses annually worldwide and over 55,000 diagnoses in the United Kingdom [1]. These figures are predicted to increase [2] in part due to an aging population and increasing trends in modifiable breast cancer risk factors.

Recent estimates show that weight gain, excess weight, and potentially modifiable health behaviors are causally linked with a high proportion of breast cancer in the United Kingdom (~20%) [3]. The estimated attributable risks are 8% for weight gain through adulthood and overweight and obesity, 8% for high alcohol intake, and 5% for the absence of breastfeeding [3]. Other health behaviors that increase risk include smoking and lack of physical activity [4].

A recent UK study reported that a significant proportion of breast cancer cases, around 38%, occur in the 18% of women who are at increased risk (>17% lifetime risk) on the basis of their family history, +/ mammographic density, +/ hormonal factors, +/ high-risk single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [5]. Excess weight and unhealthy behaviors (high alcohol intake, smoking, unhealthy diet, and lack of physical activity) have an equal or greater effect on the relative risk for breast cancer among women with a family history compared to women without a family history of breast cancer [6-10]. Targeting health behavior interventions to women at increased risk of breast cancer is likely to have a significant impact on reducing rates because the same relative risk reduction will lead to greater absolute risk reductions.

Current Health Behaviors Among Women at Increased Risk

Many women known to be at increased risk attend Family History, Risk and Prevention Clinics (FHRPCs) of which there are around 90 in the United Kingdom. UK guidance from the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends FHRPCs to provide advice on health behaviors to lower breast cancer risk [11]. However, our 2016 survey of 21 FHRPCs found that few do in practice, most likely due to limitations on time, skills, and resources (personal communication). Analyses of BMI and health behavior data from women at increased risk (n=136, mean age 41.2, SD 3.5 years) in our clinic at The Nightingale Centre, South Manchester, highlighted the prevalence of overweight and obesity, and unhealthy behaviors that were comparable to women in the general population [12]. Almost 60% had overweight or obesity, 30% did not meet physical activity recommendations, and 45% exceeded alcohol recommendations. Thus, there is an unmet need to provide cancer prevention health behavior programs for women at increased risk.

Our recent overview highlighted that the majority of weight gain in women occurs between the ages of 18 and 35 years [13]. Once the weight is gained, it is very difficult to lose. Hence, this project is focused on preventing weight gain and improving health behaviors among women aged 18 to 35 years.

The Need for a Health Behavior App

Our previous interview study reported that young women (aged 25-35 years) at increased risk of breast cancer are interested in joining a program to prevent weight gain and promote healthy behaviors which could be accessed remotely, potentially via an app [14]. This was in line with the views expressed by our public and patient involvement (PPI) group of women younger than 40 years at increased risk of breast cancer who had been a healthy weight at age 18 years but had since gained at least a stone in weight (unpublished data). A program delivered via an app could also be scalable to all UK FHRPCs without putting additional pressure on each clinic.

While there are a number of weight loss apps already on the market, there are currently no apps designed to prevent weight gain. A search of the literature revealed no publications on the development of such apps; therefore, there is nothing that is currently suitable for testing in FHRPCs. Additionally, there are many breast cancer information and prevention apps, which only provide static information, for example, on risk factors and health behavior advice. They are neither interactive nor grounded in recognized psychological theory; therefore, they are unlikely to elicit behavior change [15].

```
https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/12/e41246
```

Development of the App

We have developed an app promoting weight gain prevention and healthy behaviors among young women at increased risk of breast cancer using a codesign process involving young women from the FHRPC, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust (MFT). The development was based on the person-based approach including performing qualitative research with users in the planning stages and the creation of guiding principles which are the features of the intervention identified as central to achieving the objectives [16,17]. We held 4 web-based PPI groups between September 2020 and October 2021 with between 2 and 7 PPI participants using an iterative approach to app development. Following each meeting, the participants' opinions were fed back to the app development team. Our multidisciplinary team includes researchers with experience of developing health apps, behavioral psychologists, dietitians, and breast oncologists. The app includes behavior change techniques found to be effective within health behavior apps in the literature, including self-monitoring [18-21] and goal setting [18,22-25], and provides education about health and breast cancer topics via an embedded microsite. Users can customize the app by choosing imperial or metric units for height and weight entries, opt to have their BMI or not have their BMI calculated after entering a weight, and choose their desired frequency for completing the 5 health logs (weight, alcohol intake, healthy eating score, smoking, and physical activity). Only weight must be completed at least monthly. Logs that are not relevant, for example, the smoking log for a nonsmoker, will not be displayed. After submitting a log, the participants are prompted to document their next target. Participants receive push notifications when their logs are due and are able to view graphs of their progress. The microsite is embedded within the app and contains information about topics such as alcohol and breast cancer, importance of fruit and vegetables in the diet, how to set health behavior goals, and how to limit weight gain in pregnancy.

Figure 1. Components of the app.

The Health Behavior Intervention: Microsoft Teams Welcome Event, App With Embedded Microsite, and Private Facebook Group

The aim of the health behavior intervention is to prevent weight gain (via encouraging healthy behaviors such as healthy eating and physical activity) and improve other health behaviors associated with breast cancer, that is, reduced alcohol, smoking cessation, and breastfeeding. All of the components of the intervention are shown in Figure 1.

Alongside the app, a private, hidden Facebook group allows participants to access social/peer support within the study population for behavior change and contact with the research team. Membership of the private Facebook group is by invitation only by the research team who will also act as moderators. Only the invited members can see the list of members in the group and what members post, comment on and share within the group, and only current, invited or former members of the group can see the group's name and description, and can find the group in a web-based search. The participants' membership of the group and activity within the group are not visible on their personal Facebook profiles. Participants are encouraged to post within the group, and weekly posts from the research team will introduce a new weekly educational topic to promote interaction, for example, a poll or request for a recipe exchange. The research team will check the group at least once daily and reply to comments and private messages.

After consent, participants will be invited to attend one of several 45-minute welcome events hosted on Microsoft Teams with up to 10 other participants. These will provide a simple overview of the evidence for the association between weight, health behavior risk factors, and breast cancer, meet and build relationships with other study participants who will be present in the private Facebook group, and build rapport with the research team.





Testing the Complex Intervention

Evidence generation for the developed app will follow "Evidence Standards Framework for Digital Health Technologies" guidance by NICE to Tier C [26]. App design, development, and testing have involved experienced health professionals to ensure content is accurate and up-to-date. This study will involve users for acceptability testing. The intervention is "complex" as it has a number of interacting components (information provision, social/peer support, self-monitoring, and goal setting) and a number of outcomes (weight, alcohol, physical activity, healthy eating, and smoking) [27]. Therefore, we will follow the Medical Research Council framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions, which indicates the need to assess the acceptability and feasibility of a new complex intervention before any evaluation of efficacy or effectiveness [27]. This study is focused on the evaluation of acceptability and usability and will highlight areas to be refined before the next stage of testing. This study will also give us an indication of the feasibility of some of the study procedures we will use in future studies, for example, recruiting via social media and using web-based questionnaires. Following this initial study, we will run a full feasibility study in line with the Medical Research Council framework to assess the feasibility of running a randomized, multicenter efficacy study. Adhering to these frameworks will help to ensure that the correct evidence is gathered to enable the implementation of the intervention in the NHS.

Study Aim

The overall study aim is to assess the acceptability and the usability of the intervention for young women at increased risk of breast cancer, and the feasibility of the study procedures for a planned future efficacy study.

Study Objectives

This study has the following 7 objectives:

- 1. Explore the views of users on their experience of the 2 different recruitment procedures (targeted mailshot, or social media, newsletters, and websites) and the web-based consent procedure
- 2. Assess recruitment data to explore how the 2 different recruitment procedures could be improved for the next study
- 3. Explore views of users on their experiences during and after using the app
- 4. Assess user data from the app including frequency and patterns of use of the different functions
- 5. Assemble a list of suggested changes to the recruitment and consent procedures, and to the app itself, to be considered before the next study

- 6. Quantify health care professional time required for administering the private Facebook chat group, and through email or private message support
- 7. Quantify researcher time required for the cleaning and analysis of app data

Methods

Overview

This is a single-arm observational study (with embedded qualitative elements). The study team includes a PPI participant who gave feedback on the protocol and all study documents that the participants will receive such as the participant information sheet and questionnaires. A total of 35 young women at increased risk of breast cancer will have access to the app and Facebook group for 2 months followed by an interview.

Participants

The study will recruit 35 participants using the following inclusion criteria: female, age 18-35 years, living in the United Kingdom, moderate or high risk of breast cancer (>17% lifetime risk) [11], ability to communicate (written and spoken) in English, ability to download and use an app (available on both iOS and Android). In addition, the following exclusion criteria will be used: previous breast cancer (other cancers will not be excluded); previous bilateral preventative mastectomy, currently trying to gain weight; previous weight loss surgery; currently taking weight loss medication; prescribed (eg, orlistat, liraglutide, naltrexone/bupropion [Mysimba]) or other medical conditions that influence diet and weight, for example, diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease, or cystic fibrosis; current diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder, for example, bipolar psychotic disorder or current self-harm (self-report); current alcohol or drug dependency (self-report); or current or previous diagnosis of an eating disorder.

Ethical Considerations

The study has been granted ethical approval by Wales Research Ethics Committee 3 Cardiff (reference 22/WA/0164). All participants will be asked to provide informed consent. The study will be performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study is registered online at ClinicalTrials.gov (reference NCT05460650). Data will be anonymized once data collection is complete but before analysis. There are no financial incentives for participating in the study.

Study Procedures

The study flowchart is detailed in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Study flow chart.



Recruitment

Participants will be recruited in 2 different ways: (1) receiving a targeted invite letter from the MFT FHRPC (estimated recruitment of 30 participants) and (2) viewing advertisements on websites/newsletters/social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter (estimated recruitment of 5 participants).

- Recruitment from within the MFT FHRPC: We have successfully recruited to health behavior research studies and PPI projects using postal invite letters to FHRPC participants with an uptake of between 9% and 23% (unpublished, in press, and [14]). Based on a 15% predicted uptake, 200 letters will be posted to recruit 30 women.
- 2. Recruitment from outside of the FHRPC: Other methods of recruitment will be used to expand diversity within the recruited population as the ethnicity of MFT FHRPC attendees is mainly White [28].

Eligibility Check and Consent

All participants will have a telephone eligibility check. Breast cancer risk for participants from MFT FHRPC does not need

to be verified as this has already been calculated using the Tyrer-Cuzick method [29]. Participants recruited from outside of the FHRPC will be deemed at increased risk based on their family history of breast and ovarian cancer as per NICE guidelines for referral to secondary care [11]. These women will be advised that they could be at increased risk due to their family history and therefore may meet the criteria for referral into secondary care and will be advised to seek a referral to a local FHRPC via their general practitioner. Following the eligibility check, participants will be asked to complete a web-based informed consent form. In order to report uptake to the study and reasons for screen fail, we will ask interested women who are not eligible if we can store their answers to the eligibility screen. If women consent to this, the information will be anonymized prior to storage.

Intervention

Participants will be invited to attend a Microsoft Teams (Microsoft Corporation) welcome event and will have 2 months' access to the app (Figure 3) and the private Facebook group.

Figure 3. App screenprints.

Edit: Select Activities Control Eable Different Health Logs Control Select which health behaviours you wish to monitor We ask that you log your weight at least once a month We ask that you log you weight at least once a month We ask that you log you weight at least once a month We ask that you log you weight at least once a month We ask that you log you weight at least once a month We ask that you log you weight at least once a month We ask that yo

Сомминіту				
← Health Log: Smoking		÷	Health Log: Smoking	•
Log Date		How	Do You Feel?	
Enter the date for which you are reporting		Please	indicate how you feel about this	
05/04/2022	\checkmark	3 3 3		1
Cigarettes Smoked		Your	Goals	
Number of cigarettes* smoked in the last 7 day	ys	My goa	als are	
Monday	\checkmark		 Aim for a specific value 	
			Maintain your current value Aim for the recommended	
Tuesday	~	*	value	
Wednesday	\checkmark			
Thursday	1			
	Ť			
Friday	\sim	\star	Enter Goal	
Saturday	\checkmark	\star	Enter Goal	
Sunday	~		SUBMIT	

 \odot

Health Logs

NUMPLOS DUE ON 24 JANUARY 2022

3

NUT

뗩

T?

Ś

Resources

Please complete the log for any highlighted activities

Questionnaires

All questionnaires will be hosted electronically on Qualtrics XM (Qualtrics LLC) and completed following the timeframe in Table 1.

Table 1. Timeframe of study questionnaires.

Questionnaire	Baseline	1 month (midstudy)	2 months (end of study)
Demographics and Health Behaviors Questionnaire	✓	N/A ^a	N/A
Breast cancer risk beliefs questionnaire	✓	N/A	\checkmark
Mobile Application Rating Scale [30] questionnaire	N/A	1	\checkmark
App feedback questionnaire	N/A	✓ <i>Optional</i> as and when the participant wishes to give feedback on the app during the study	✓ <i>Optional</i> as and when the participant wishes to give feedback on the app during the study

^aN/A: not applicable.

Outcome Measures

Outcome measures for the study and how they will be evaluated are detailed in Table 2.

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/12/e41246

Pegington et al



Table 2. Outcome measures and how they will be evaluated.

Process to evaluate and method of data collection	Aims to explore	Method of analysis/data to be presented	Timepoint of analysis
Recruitment methods		·	
Recruitment data	Recruitment source of the participants	Recruitment data, for example, percentage response to mailshot, percentage uptake, and breakdown of numbers recruited via each method	At the end of recruit- ment phase
Interviews	Acceptability of recruitment proce- dure	Analysis of qualitative interviews	Interviews at the end of study
Consent method			
Interviews	Acceptability of consent procedure	Analysis of the qualitative interviews	Interviews at the end of the study
App: participant views			
Interviews, final questionnaire, app feedback questionnaires, and feed- back received by email	Acceptability of the app, barriers and facilitators to engagement, likes and do not likes within the app, usability, and likelihood of extended use	Analysis of qualitative interviews, analysis of final questionnaire, and app feedback questionnaires, for example, functions most liked, suggested changes	Middle, during, and the end of the study
App: participant usage			
App usage data	Frequency of use, pattern of use across the 2-month interaction with components within app	Analysis of app analytics: number of times visited the app, clicks on links within the app to external sites, clicks from notifica- tions, clicks on help buttons, flow through the app (which screens in which sequence), duration spent on each page/on the app in total	End of study
App: completion of information includ	ling logs		
Download of completed information including logs	Correct completion of the settings in- formation and completion the frequen- cy of the logs	Actual versus chosen frequency of comple- tion of logs and change over time; any er- rors in information inputted, for example, kg entered as stones and pounds; number of logs completed for each health behavior	End of study
Health care professional time required	I		
Health care professional time logs	Health care professional time required for moderating Facebook chat group and through email or private message support	Breakdown of health care professional time spent in total and per person on moderating Facebook chat group, responding to private messages and emails	End of study
Engagement with the Facebook group			
Download of data from the group	Number of participant interactions in the Facebook group, pattern of inter- actions over the 2 months	Analysis of Facebook download	End of study
Time needed to collect, clean, and ana	lyze data		
Staff time logs	Estimate of staff time and costs for larger study	Breakdown of staff time spent on the study, including cleaning the app data	End of study

Interviews

RenderX

A qualitative researcher will undertake up to 20 semistructured interviews at the end of the 2-month study. Sampling will be purposive, aiming to obtain women with a range of ages, ethnicities, and both heavy and light engagers with the app. The interviews will take place face-to-face or over Microsoft Teams and will be digitally audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. The interview schedule (Multimedia Appendix 1) explores the participants' experience of using the app, the usability of this app, whether it has been useful to them in changing their health behaviors, and aims to understand how the app may have

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/12/e41246

influenced health behaviors or feelings toward breast cancer. It is predicted that a maximum of 20 interviews will be required, but a decision to stop further interviews will be made when no novel insights appear in the interviews according to the concept of "information power" [31].

Statistical Analysis

This study is to assess the acceptability and usability of the app before a larger feasibility study is planned and as such is not powered according to the outcome measures of that larger study. The study will recruit 35 women, of which up to 20 will be interviewed. The study sample size of 35 will allow for a 20%

dropout and selection of a range of women to interview, for example, both heavy and light engagers with the app. The study is not powered to assess the efficacy of the app at changing health behaviors and preventing weight gain, but 2 months of usage by ≥ 28 users will enable the quantitative data to give helpful indications of changes required to the app before a larger efficacy study.

Quantitative Analysis

Key baseline information from the demographics and health behaviors questionnaire will be presented, for example, smoking status, alcohol intake and physical activity in the previous week, age, living circumstances, ethnicity [32], education level, employment status, sociodemographic status (deprivation score: English Indices of Multiple Deprivation derived from full postcodes [33]), number and ages of children, and previous attendance at FHRPC using mean (SD), median (25th-75th percentile), and n (%) as appropriate.

Data from the private Facebook group will be extracted by copying and pasting it into Excel and anonymized. Data will be comments, posts (or descriptions of posts in the case of photo or video posts), and numbers of reactions. The use of an application programming interface will not be considered for this small study. Quantitative data will be presented, for example, the number of comments in reaction to weekly educational posts (total, average number per post and range, subjects evoking the highest number of comments, and number of users commenting), number of posts by participants, number of likes and reactions (total, average number per post and range, subjects evoking the highest number of reactions, and number of users reacting), and number of private messages to the moderators (number of users messaging and total number of messages received and sent) [34]. No qualitative analysis is planned on the text.

App usage data (number of times visited the app, clicks on links within the app to external sites, clicks from notifications, clicks on help buttons, flow through the app [which screens in which sequence], duration spent on each page/on the app in total) will be presented descriptively.

Qualitative Analysis

Transcripts will be analyzed using thematic analysis [35]. The analysis will be inductive: open-ended, exploratory, and driven by the data. Thematic analysis is free from theoretical bonds and is therefore adaptable to a wide range of methodologies. This freedom of epistemology means that the qualitative data from this study can provide a parallel and complimentary perspective to the other methods of data collection being used in this study. Thematic analysis can account for both individual and group consensus so that both convergent and divergent experiences across the corpus of the data can be taken into account as the process of analysis involves searching for all salient themes that emerge from the data. The analysis will be conducted by the qualitative health psychology researcher and codes discussed, revised, and refined in conjunction with DF.

Results

The study is currently ongoing, recruitment is predicted to be complete by the end of January 2023, and the results are expected to be submitted for publication by the summer of 2023.

Discussion

Expected Findings

We have developed an intervention including a novel weight gain prevention and health behavior app with PPI participants. This study is aiming to assess the acceptability and usability of the app for young women at increased risk of breast cancer, and the feasibility of study procedures for a planned future efficacy study. Through its objectives, this study will provide evidence for changes required to the study and the intervention that should be implemented in a future study. We are following recognized frameworks in order to enable future implementation in the NHS.

Previous Studies

The literature reveals a paucity of studies using evidence-based smartphone interventions for breast cancer risk reduction. A 2014 review by Mobasheri et al [36] highlighted the lack of evidence-based content in apps related to breast health and found potential safety concerns in 15.7% (29/185) of the apps they reviewed. In 2016, Coughlin et al [37] collated the evidence regarding modifiable behavioral risk factors that should be included in the development of apps for breast cancer risk reduction. They concluded that an app should address multiple modifiable risk factors and different apps may be required to effectively target different populations such as younger women. They highlighted the importance of including proven behavioral techniques such as goal setting. They found that no evidence-based apps currently exist and they planned to develop and test an app but as yet have not published further work. Giunti et al [38] identified 61 apps related to primary or secondary breast cancer prevention of which over 80% were developed by individuals or small-to-medium enterprises, and they, again, raised concerns about the lack of medical professional involvement in app development, many of which aim to sell products or link with paid services [38]. A 2019 systematic review of app studies that aim to reduce breast cancer risk did not find any that addressed all of the necessary behavioral risk factors and concluded that more research is required, especially for apps relevant to young women [39].

Limitations

This 2-month study will be unable to assess app usage over a longer timeframe. The questionnaires and interviews will, however, inform whether longer-term use is likely, and changes that are required to improve engagement and longevity that will be employed in the subsequent feasibility study. The study will not attempt to establish the effectiveness of the intervention for modifying behavior. A longer study duration is required for this. Nor will the study assess changes to breast cancer risk due to behavior modification or weight change, as current risk models are unable to calculate the effect of behavioral changes

such as smoking reduction/cessation or changes in physical activity levels on future breast cancer risk.

Planned Future Steps

The findings of the study will be published. If this study shows that the app is acceptable to the target audience, it will be used in a future planned feasibility study. Any changes required to the app, Facebook page, or trial procedures that have been highlighted by this acceptability study will be completed before the feasibility study. The feasibility study will in turn inform the viability of a larger, definitive multicenter randomized controlled trial to test the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the app for the prevention of weight gain, and optimization of health behaviors related to breast cancer among young women at increased risk.

Conclusions

Over 55,000 women are diagnosed with breast cancer in the United Kingdom each year, and many of these cases could be prevented through changes to health behaviors and limiting weight gain. Consideration of the existing literature surrounding health behavior interventions and breast cancer risk reduction, along with input from PPI groups, has led us to develop an app for use in young women at increased risk of breast cancer. In this initial study, we will assess whether the app is acceptable to the target population. The study will add to the literature on the development of interventions for the reduction of breast cancer risk in women at increased risk of the disease. If successful, we plan to run a larger efficacy study. By following recognized frameworks for intervention development, we will increase the likelihood of developing an intervention that can be successfully rolled out within the NHS.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the public and patient involvement participants involved with the development of the app and the study protocol and documents, Patricia Barnby and Adrian Harwood from the University of Manchester Research IT for developing the app, and Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust's Diagnostics and Technology Accelerator for funding development of the app. The study is funded by National Institute for Health Research Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20007). MP, MH, AH, DPF, and DGE are supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Data Availability

After data analysis is complete, the fully anonymized data will be uploaded to the University of Manchester institutional research data repository and will remain there indefinitely and will be freely available.

Authors' Contributions

AD, JM, and MP designed and created the app and all authors conceived and designed the protocol. RC, DPF, MP, and MH developed the interview schedule. All authors and drafted and gave final approval of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Interview schedule. [DOCX File , 18 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

- 1. Breast cancer incidence (invasive) statistics 2022. Cancer Research UK. 2021. URL: <u>https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/</u> <u>health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/breast-cancer/incidence-invasive</u> [accessed 2022-07-07]
- Maddams J, Utley M, Møller H. Projections of cancer prevalence in the United Kingdom, 2010-2040. Br J Cancer 2012 Sep 25;107(7):1195-1202 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/bjc.2012.366] [Medline: 22892390]
- Brown KF, Rumgay H, Dunlop C, Ryan M, Quartly F, Cox A, et al. The fraction of cancer attributable to modifiable risk factors in England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland, and the United Kingdom in 2015. Br J Cancer 2018 Apr 23;118(8):1130-1141 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41416-018-0029-6] [Medline: 29567982]
- 4. Continuous Update Project Expert Report. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and breast cancer. World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research. 2018. URL: <u>https://www.wcrf.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/</u> Breast-cancer-report.pdf [accessed 2022-07-07]
- 5. Brentnall AR, van Veen EM, Harkness EF, Rafiq S, Byers H, Astley SM, et al. A case-control evaluation of 143 single nucleotide polymorphisms for breast cancer risk stratification with classical factors and mammographic density. Int J Cancer 2020 Apr 15;146(8):2122-2129 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/ijc.32541] [Medline: 31251818]

- Gramling R, Lash TL, Rothman KJ, Cabral HJ, Silliman R, Roberts M, et al. Family history of later-onset breast cancer, breast healthy behavior and invasive breast cancer among postmenopausal women: a cohort study. Breast Cancer Res 2010 Oct 12;12(5):R82 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/bcr2727] [Medline: 20939870]
- Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, Wright LB, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ. Smoking and risk of breast cancer in the Generations Study cohort. Breast Cancer Res 2017 Nov 22;19(1):118 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13058-017-0908-4] [Medline: 29162146]
- Hopper JL, Dite GS, MacInnis RJ, Liao Y, Zeinomar N, Knight JA, kConFab Investigators, et al. Age-specific breast cancer risk by body mass index and familial risk: prospective family study cohort (ProF-SC). Breast Cancer Res 2018 Nov 03;20(1):132 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13058-018-1056-1] [Medline: 30390716]
- Kehm, RD. Recreational physical activity is associated with reduced breast cancer risk in adult women at high risk for breast cancer: a cohort study of women selected for familial and genetic risk. Cancer Res 2020;80(1):116-125. [doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-19-1847]
- Petimar J, Park YMM, Smith-Warner SA, Fung TT, Sandler DP. Dietary index scores and invasive breast cancer risk among women with a family history of breast cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 2019 May 01;109(5):1393-1401 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqy392] [Medline: 30968114]
- 11. Familial breast cancer classification, care and managing breast cancer and related risks in people with a family history of breast cancer, 2013. NICE. 2006. URL: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg164</u> [accessed 2022-07-07]
- 12. Pegington M, Evans DG, Howell A, Donnelly LS, Wiseman J, Cuzick JM, et al. Lifestyle behaviours and health measures of women at increased risk of breast cancer taking chemoprevention. Eur J Cancer Prev 2019 Nov;28(6):500-506. [doi: 10.1097/CEJ.000000000000493] [Medline: 30444752]
- 13. Pegington M, French DP, Harvie MN. Why young women gain weight: a narrative review of influencing factors and possible solutions. Obes Rev 2020 May;21(5):e13002. [doi: <u>10.1111/obr.13002</u>] [Medline: <u>32011105</u>]
- 14. Hewitt RM, Pegington M, Harvie M, French DP. How acceptable is a weight maintenance programme for healthy weight young women who are at increased risk of breast cancer? Psychol Health 2020 Jul 20;35(7):854-871. [doi: 10.1080/08870446.2019.1690146] [Medline: 31746223]
- Cacciamani GE, Aron M, Gill I, Desai M. Re: oncological outcome according to attainment of pentafecta after robot-assisted radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer in the multicentre KORARC database. BJU Int 2020 Nov;126(5):644-645. [doi: 10.1111/bju.15222] [Medline: 32870582]
- 16. Yardley L, Ainsworth B, Arden-Close E, Muller I. The person-based approach to enhancing the acceptability and feasibility of interventions. Pilot Feasibility Stud 2015 Oct 26;1(1):37 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s40814-015-0033-z] [Medline: 27965815]
- Yardley L, Morrison L, Bradbury K, Muller I. The person-based approach to intervention development: application to digital health-related behavior change interventions. J Med Internet Res 2015 Jan 30;17(1):e30 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.4055] [Medline: 25639757]
- Ashton LM, Sharkey T, Whatnall MC, Haslam RL, Bezzina A, Aguiar EJ, et al. Which behaviour change techniques within interventions to prevent weight gain and/or initiate weight loss improve adiposity outcomes in young adults? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Obes Rev 2020 Jun 16;21(6):e13009. [doi: <u>10.1111/obr.13009</u>] [Medline: <u>32064761</u>]
- 19. Hebden L, Chey T, Allman-Farinelli M. Lifestyle intervention for preventing weight gain in young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs. Obes Rev 2012 Aug;13(8):692-710. [doi: <u>10.1111/j.1467-789X.2012.00990.x</u>] [Medline: <u>22413804</u>]
- 20. Laska MN, Pelletier JE, Larson NI, Story M. Interventions for weight gain prevention during the transition to young adulthood: a review of the literature. J Adolesc Health 2012 Apr;50(4):324-333 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.01.016] [Medline: 22443834]
- 21. Michie S, Abraham C, Whittington C, McAteer J, Gupta S. Effective techniques in healthy eating and physical activity interventions: a meta-regression. Health Psychol 2009 Nov;28(6):690-701. [doi: 10.1037/a0016136] [Medline: 19916637]
- 22. Epton T, Currie S, Armitage CJ. Unique effects of setting goals on behavior change: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Consult Clin Psychol 2017 Dec;85(12):1182-1198. [doi: 10.1037/ccp0000260] [Medline: 29189034]
- Villinger K, Wahl DR, Boeing H, Schupp HT, Renner B. The effectiveness of app-based mobile interventions on nutrition behaviours and nutrition-related health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2019 Oct;20(10):1465-1484 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/obr.12903] [Medline: 31353783]
- Carlo AD, Hosseini Ghomi R, Renn BN, Strong MA, Areán PA. Assessment of real-world use of behavioral health mobile applications by a novel stickiness metric. JAMA Netw Open 2020 Aug 03;3(8):e2011978 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.11978] [Medline: 32744628]
- 25. Williams SL, French DP. What are the most effective intervention techniques for changing physical activity self-efficacy and physical activity behaviour—and are they the same? Health Educ Res 2011 Apr;26(2):308-322. [doi: 10.1093/her/cyr005] [Medline: 21321008]

- 26. Evidence standards framework for digital health technologies. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. URL: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/evidence-standards-framework-for-digital-health-technologies</u> [accessed 2022-07-07]
- 27. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, Craig P, Baird J, Blazeby JM, et al. A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ 2021 Sep 30;374:n2061 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.n2061] [Medline: 34593508]
- 28. Evans DG, Astley S, Stavrinos P, Harkness E, Donnelly LS, Dawe S, et al. Improvement in risk prediction, early detection and prevention of breast cancer in the NHS Breast Screening Programme and family history clinics: a dual cohort study. ProgramGrants Appl Res 2016 Aug;4(11):1-210. [doi: 10.3310/pgfar04110] [Medline: 27559559]
- 29. Tyrer J, Duffy SW, Cuzick J. A breast cancer prediction model incorporating familial and personal risk factors. Stat Med 2004 Apr 15;23(7):1111-1130. [doi: 10.1002/sim.1668] [Medline: 15057881]
- 30. Kennedy M, Kumar R, Ryan NM, Bennett J, La Hera Fuentes G, Gould GS. Codeveloping a multibehavioural mobile phone app to enhance social and emotional well-being and reduce health risks among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women during preconception and pregnancy: a three-phased mixed-methods study. BMJ Open 2021 Nov 24;11(11):e052545 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-052545] [Medline: 34819285]
- 31. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample size in qualitative interview studies: guided by information power. Qual Health Res 2016 Nov;26(13):1753-1760. [doi: 10.1177/1049732315617444] [Medline: 26613970]
- 32. List of ethnic groups. Race Disparity Unit, C.O. URL: <u>https://www.ethnicity-facts-figures.service.gov.uk/style-guide/</u> <u>ethnic-groups</u> [accessed 2022-11-19]
- 33. Postcode directories: UK data service census support, 2021. Office for National Statistics. URL: <u>https://geoconvert.</u> <u>ukdataservice.ac.uk/</u> [accessed 2022-07-07]
- 34. Franz D, Marsh HE, Chen JI, Teo AR. Using Facebook for qualitative research: a brief primer. J Med Internet Res 2019 Aug 13;21(8):e13544 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/13544] [Medline: 31411143]
- 35. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006 Jan;3(2):77-101. [doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa]
- 36. Mobasheri MH, Johnston M, King D, Leff D, Thiruchelvam P, Darzi A. Smartphone breast applications—what's the evidence? Breast 2014 Oct;23(5):683-689 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2014.07.006] [Medline: 25153432]
- Coughlin SS, Thind H, Liu B, Wilson LCC. Towards research-tested smartphone applications for preventing breast cancer. Mhealth 2016 Jun 21;2:26-26 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.21037/mhealth.2016.06.02] [Medline: 27390745]
- Giunti G, Giunta DH, Guisado-Fernandez E, Bender JL, Fernandez-Luque L. A biopsy of breast cancer mobile applications: state of the practice review. Int J Med Inform 2018 Feb;110:1-9 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.10.022] [Medline: 29331247]
- Houghton LC, Howland RE, McDonald JA. Mobilizing breast cancer prevention research through smartphone apps: a systematic review of the literature. Front Public Health 2019 Nov 6;7:298 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2019.00298] [Medline: 31781525]

Abbreviations

FHRPC: Family History, Risk and Prevention Clinic **MFT:** Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust **NICE:** National Institute of Health and Care Excellence **NIHR:** National Institute for Health Research **PPI:** public and patient involvement **SNP:** single nucleotide polymorphism

Edited by A Mavragani; submitted 21.07.22; peer-reviewed by C Huang, M Kapsetaki; comments to author 01.11.22; revised version received 15.11.22; accepted 16.11.22; published 16.12.22

<u>Please cite as:</u> Pegington M, Davies A, Mueller J, Cholerton R, Howell A, Evans DG, Howell SJ, French DP, Harvie M Evaluating the Accentance and Usability of an App Promoting Weight Gain Prevention and Healthy Beh

Evaluating the Acceptance and Usability of an App Promoting Weight Gain Prevention and Healthy Behaviors Among Young Women With a Family History of Breast Cancer: Protocol for an Observational Study JMIR Res Protoc 2022;11(12):e41246

URL: <u>https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/12/e41246</u> doi: <u>10.2196/41246</u>

PMID:

RenderX

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2022/12/e41246

©Mary Pegington, Alan Davies, Julia Mueller, Rachel Cholerton, Anthony Howell, D Gareth Evans, Sacha J Howell, David P French, Michelle Harvie. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (https://www.researchprotocols.org), 16.12.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

