Protocol

## The Effects of Foot Reflexology on Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting in Patients with Digestive System or Lung Cancer: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

Audrey Murat-Ringot<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>; Pierre Jean Souquet<sup>1\*</sup>, MD; Marion Chauvenet<sup>1</sup>, MD; Charlotte Rentler<sup>1</sup>, BA; Fabien Subtil<sup>1,4</sup>, PhD; Anne-Marie Schott<sup>2,5</sup>, PhD, MD; Marie Preau<sup>3\*</sup>, PhD; Vincent Piriou<sup>2,6\*</sup>, PhD, MD

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Coordination en Cancérologie, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Pierre-Benite, France

<sup>2</sup>HESPER EA7425, Université Lyon 1, Lyon, France

<sup>4</sup>Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive UMR 5558, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France

<sup>5</sup>Pôle Santé Publique, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

<sup>6</sup>Réanimation Anesthésie, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Pierre-Benite, France

\*these authors contributed equally

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Pierre Jean Souquet, MD Centre de Coordination en Cancérologie Hospices Civils de Lyon 165 Chemin du Grand Revoyet Pierre-Benite France Phone: 33 478864400 Email: pierre-jean.souquet@chu-lyon.fr

## Abstract

**Background:** The side effects of chemotherapy, specifically chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting, are a concern for patients. To relieve these side effects, antiemetic drugs are recommended. However, some patients report that these drugs are not sufficiently effective. Moreover, patients with chronic disease, including cancer, are increasingly interested in complementary and alternative medicines, and express the desire for nonpharmacological treatments to be used in hospitals. Foot reflexology is a holistic approach that is reported to significantly reduce the severity of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patients with breast cancer. Some of the chemotherapy treatments for patients with lung and digestive system cancer are moderately or highly emetic.

**Objective:** The primary objective of this study is to assess the benefits of foot reflexology, together with conventional treatments, on the severity and frequency of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patients with lung or digestive system cancer. The secondary objectives to be assessed are quality of life, anxiety, and self-esteem.

**Methods:** This study is an open-label randomized controlled trial conducted over 22 months (18 months intervention and 4 months follow-up). Eligible participants are patients with a lung or digestive system cancer with an indication for platinum-based chemotherapy. Participants are randomized into two groups: conventional care with foot reflexology and conventional care without foot reflexology. Foot reflexology sessions (30 minutes) are performed on an outpatient or inpatient basis. It was estimated that 40 participants per group will be required. The benefits of foot reflexology will be assessed by comparing the relative change in the severity of nausea and vomiting, as assessed by a visual analogue scale, and the frequency of these side effects between the two groups. The secondary objectives will be assessed with the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire; Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale; and Body Image Questionnaire.

**Results:** This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Île de France X CPP) on April 3, 2018 (No. ID RCB 2018-A00571-54). Enrollment started in June 2018. Data analysis will be performed during the second quarter of 2020 and results will be published in the last quarter of 2020.

RenderX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Laboratoire GREPS EA 4163, Institut de Psychologie, Université Lyon 2, Bron, France

**Conclusions:** The lack of knowledge regarding the efficacy and safety of foot reflexology limits oncologists to recommend it for this use. This study will provide evidence of the benefits of foot reflexology. If efficacy is confirmed, foot reflexology may be a promising complement to conventional antiemetic drugs.

Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT03508180; https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03508180.

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): DERR1-10.2196/17232

(JMIR Res Protoc 2020;9(7):e17232) doi: 10.2196/17232

#### **KEYWORDS**

cancer; randomized controlled trial; foot reflexology; nausea; vomiting; chemotherapy

## Introduction

#### Background

Patients with cancer are increasingly interested in complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) [1]. According to the systematic review by Keen et al [2], the main reasons patients use CAMs are to treat their cancer, to treat the side effects of treatment, and to improve their quality of life. Patients with chronic disease, including cancer, express the desire for nonpharmacological treatments and CAMs be used in hospitals [3].

At the time of writing, the most frequently provided CAMs in private and public oncology centers in European countries are mind-body techniques, acupuncture, homeopathy, energy therapies, health promotion, traditional herbal medicine, as well as manipulation and body-based practices (kinesiology, osteopathy, physiotherapy, and reflexology) [4]. Foot reflexology is a holistic approach. A systematic review indicated that foot reflexology seems to be effective for patients with cancer but this field requires further rigorous research with a randomized controlled trial [5]. More specifically, foot reflexology improved the quality of life of patients in the palliative stage of cancer [6], significantly decreased pain intensity and anxiety in patients with metastatic cancer [7], and significantly decreased the perceived pain and anxiety of postoperative patients with gastric cancer and hepatocellular cancer [8]. Moreover, a significant decrease in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) was observed in patients with breast cancer who received reflexology treatments [9,10]. Foot reflexology, used concomitantly with conventional treatment, shows promise in decreasing the side effects induced by chemotherapy. In particular, it may decrease CINV, which is the side effect that concerns patients the most [11], as it decreases their overall quality of life [12,13] and induces metabolic complications [11]. In addition, CINV can lead to dose reduction, postponement of treatment, and even discontinuation of treatment [14], all of which can decrease the effectiveness of the treatment [15]. To control acute and delayed CINV, antiemetic drugs are prescribed; the main ones used are 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 receptor antagonists, dexamethasone, and neurokinin-1 inhibitor receptor antagonists [11,16,17]. But despite antiemetic therapy, CINV may persist [13]. In addition to the emetogenicity of the chemotherapy, various parameters may be associated, including risk factors (age, gender, alcohol use, history of motion sickness, and history of pregnancy-related vomiting) [12], treatment compliance [18] and the perceptual gap between health professionals and patients [19,20].

XSL•FO

In 2018, in both sexes combined, lung cancer was the leading global cause of cancer death (18.4%), followed by digestive system cancer including colorectal (9.2%), stomach (8.2%), and liver (8.2%) [21]. According to national and international recommendations, adjuvant treatment for lung and digestive system cancer is platinum-based chemotherapy [22-26]. Anticancer therapy cisplatin has a high emetic risk (incidence of CINV >90%), while carboplatin and oxaliplatin have a moderate emetic risk (incidence of CINV is from 30% to 90%) [17].

#### Objective

The aim of this study is to determine whether foot reflexology decreases the side effects induced by chemotherapy (specifically, CINV resulting from platinum-based chemotherapy) in patients with lung or digestive system cancer.

## Methods

#### **Trial Design**

An open-label randomized controlled trial (RCT) will be carried out, in which patients are randomized to one of two groups at a 1:1 ratio: (1) conventional care with foot reflexology (40 patients) and (2) conventional care without foot reflexology (40 patients). Randomization is stratified on the type of cancer (digestive system or lung) and the presence or absence of metastases. The sponsor is the Hospices Civils de Lyon. The principal investigator is PJS. To design this trial, we used the CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments [27].

#### **Eligibility Criteria of Participants**

Eligibility requirements for this study are the following: patients aged  $\geq 18$  years; patients with lung cancer (eg, non-small cell lung carcinomas, small cell lung cancer, mesothelioma) or digestive system cancer (eg, colorectal cancer, pancreatic cancer, liver cancer); patients on platinum-based chemotherapy with or without radiation therapy concomitant (eg, digestive system cancer: FOLFOX, FOLFIRINOX, GEMOX, LV5FU2-CDDP; lung cancer: cisplatin-vinorelbine, pemetrexed-cisplatin, pemetrexed-carboplatin, carboplatin-paclitaxel); World Health Organization performance status  $\leq 2$ ; patients affiliated to the national social security system or equivalent; patients able to complete the questionnaires (comprehension of oral and written French language); and patients who provide written informed consent. The exclusion criteria are phlebitis; vena cava syndrome; weight loss >5% in the 3 months before inclusion date; uncontrolled pain; patients receiving morphine or morphine

derivatives; brain metastases; patients receiving foot reflexology outside the study; patients under guardianship or curatorship or who have been deprived of their rights. All data will be collected from outpatients and inpatients.

# Description of Processes, Interventions, and Comparisons

The patients randomized to the intervention group will benefit from a foot reflexology session (30 minutes) at each chemotherapy session for four cycles. The reflexology sessions will be given during chemotherapy infusion every 2 or 3 weeks, depending on the chemotherapy protocol. The sessions will be administered by two qualified reflexologists (they were trained at the French school École des Techniques en Réflexologie).

In a meta-analysis study, Lee et al [28] determined that the optimal comparator is a control group with conventional care without foot reflexology or massage therapy.

Foot reflexology is CAM, based on the principle of acupressure, that helps the body restore homeostasis. It is a holistic approach that allows one to understand the body as a whole. Each part of the body is represented by a zone or reflex point on the foot. The reflexologist stimulates each reflex zone using specific thumb and finger techniques on the patient's feet. Depending on the objective, the zones on the feet are stimulated using different types of pressure. During a session focused on the treatment of CINV, the reflexologist mainly stimulates the reflex points related to the digestive system, the lymphatic system, and kidneys to help the body eliminate toxins. The reflexology chart used in this clinical study is based on the one proposed by Eunice Ingham [29].

The reflex zones of the whole body are also found on the hands. During the first reflexology session, the reflexologist shows the patient the appropriate zones on the hands to relieve nausea. The patient will be able to stimulate these reflex points between each cycle if necessary (self-practice at home). Adherence of participants to reflexology is assessed with a diary. They have been instructed to use a diary every day between each cycle of chemotherapy to note episodes of nausea and vomiting. They also note if they took at least one antiemetic drug (on-demand treatment). If the patient uses self-massage, they note whether it has been effective.

The protocol of intervention was standardized by the reflexologists involved in this study. Over the course of the session, relaxation movements were incorporated after each stimulated reflex point. To calm nausea and vomiting, the reflexologist stimulated the upper and lower digestive reflex points, as well as smooth muscle reflex points (lymphatic system; kidneys and bladder; lungs; and thyroid and parathyroid). Encouraging deep relaxation to target anxiety involved the stimulation of the diencephalon reflex points, scapular belt reflex points, reflex points of the diaphragm, and reflex points of the spine.

#### **Enrolment, Screening, and Allocation**

Participants will be enrolled by physicians at the Lyon Sud Hospital Centre thoracic and hepatogastroenterology departments. Eligible participants who wish to participate in

https://www.researchprotocols.org/2020/7/e17232

XSL•FO

the study are asked to sign a written informed consent form. The randomization procedure is performed by the Interactive Web Response System (IWRS) via ClinSight software (Ennov Clinical, version 7.5.710, Ennov Group). Participants are allocated to the intervention group (with foot reflexology) or to the control group (without foot reflexology) before starting their treatment. Clinical research assistants generate the random allocation sequence and assign participants to the intervention.

#### **Primary Objective**

The primary objective is to assess the benefits of foot reflexology on CINV (from platinum-based chemotherapy) in patients with lung or digestive system cancer.

#### Secondary Objectives

The secondary objectives are to assess the benefits of foot reflexology in terms of overall quality of life, anxiety, and self-esteem.

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

#### **Primary Outcome**

The primary outcome is the relative change in the severity of nausea and vomiting, as assessed with a visual analogue scale (VAS). The patient is asked to mark their current nausea level on the horizontal line with anchor statements on the left (a happy face, no nausea=0 mm) and on the right (a very sick green face, paroxysm of nausea or vomiting=100 mm). Unlike vomiting, which is measurable by the number of episodes per day, nausea is a subjective experience. For that reason, we will use a VAS to evaluate the severity of nausea in patients [30]. For patients in the intervention group, this measurement will be done when the patient arrives at the outpatient or inpatient department and after the foot reflexology session, during the second cycle of chemotherapy. For patients in the control group, this measurement will be done when the patient arrives at the outpatient or inpatient department and before leaving the hospital, during the second cycle of chemotherapy. The assessment is performed by a nurse or clinical research assistant.

All patients will continue to receive standard antiemetic drugs (eg, 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 receptor antagonists, dexamethasone, and neurokinin-1 inhibitor receptor antagonists). According to chemotherapy protocols, oral antiemetic agents are included, and patients are instructed to complete the course.

#### Secondary Outcomes

#### Nausea and Vomiting

The benefits of foot reflexology on CINV will also be assessed with the diary completed every day by patients between the first and fourth cycle of chemotherapy. Every day, the patient assesses the frequency of nausea and vomiting, recording each episode of nausea and emesis, and assessing the intensity of nausea and vomiting when it is at its highest with a Likert scale (response modalities: "Very low," "Low," "Low Moderate," "Severe," "Very severe," "Unbearable").

#### **Quality of Life**

The benefits of foot reflexology on quality of life will be assessed by the relative change in the overall European

Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) score [31]. The patient is asked to complete EORTC QLQ-C30 at the baseline and end of the study (fourth cycle of chemotherapy).

#### Anxiety

The benefits of foot reflexology on anxiety will be assessed by the relative change in the overall Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) score [32]. The patient is asked to complete HADS at the baseline and end of the study (fourth cycle of chemotherapy).

#### Self-Esteem

The benefits of foot reflexology on the level of self-esteem will be assessed at the end-of-study visit using the Body Image Questionnaire (BIQ) [33], which measures body image at a given time. The analysis of the BIQ takes into account the level of self-esteem assessed at the baseline using the Rosenberg scale [34].

#### Adverse Events

All adverse events are collected during this study and the assessment of causality with foot reflexology is at the physician's discretion.

#### Sample Size

In a study reported by Billhult et al [35], the mean improvement for CINV (measured using a VAS) was 49.5% in the placebo group, and 73.5% in the massage group (with a common standard deviation of 32.2%). Assuming these same hypotheses, for a two-sided risk of 5%, it is necessary to include 40 patients per group to demonstrate a statistically significant difference between the two groups with a power of 90%.

#### **Type of Statistical Analysis Used**

Study data are entered into a password-protected Excel spreadsheet (version 14.0, Microsoft Corp) accessible only to the project manager. Quantitative data will be described for the entire population, using the following descriptive statistics: the number, the number of missing values, the mean, the standard deviation, the median, the interquartile range, and the range. Qualitative variables will be summarized for the entire population using the following descriptive statistics: the frequency and percentage for each category of the variable and the missing values (missing values will be counted but are not included in the denominator of the calculation of frequencies).

For the primary endpoint, comparison of the relative change in the VAS between the two groups will be performed using a linear model adjusted on the type of cancer (digestive system or lung) and the presence of metastases (randomization stratification factors). This model allows the estimation of the difference in mean relative variation of VAS between the two groups, adjusted on potential confounding factors, with a 95% confidence interval. The VAS score may be transformed satisfy the assumptions of the linear model. If the assumptions of the model cannot be verified, the comparison between the two groups will be done using the van Elteren test. Specific estimates of the difference in relative VAS variation between the groups will be provided for both types of cancer, and by metastatic status.

The comparison of the frequency of episodes of CINV between chemotherapy cycles between the two groups will be performed using a mixed effects Poisson model, integrating the number of intercycle days as offset. The model will consider the intervention group and stratification criteria as fixed effects, and will incorporate one random intercept per patient.

The proportion of chemotherapy cycles in which the patient took at least one antiemetic drug will be compared between the two groups using a mixed effect logistic regression model. The model will consider the intervention arm and stratification criteria as fixed effects, and will incorporate one random intercept per patient.

The comparison of quality of life and anxiety between the two groups will be done using a Wilcoxon test.

The comparison of self-image between the two groups will be done using a linear model adjusted on the baseline self-esteem evaluated with the Rosenberg scale. A possible transformation of the BIQ score will performed to satisfy the assumptions of the linear model.

The analysis will be performed according to the intention-to-treat principle, considering all patients that completed the endpoint evaluation in the group that was allocated to them by the randomization. The time point for the primary endpoint has been defined to minimize the risk of missing data.

For the primary endpoint, a secondary analysis will be performed per protocol, including patients with the endpoint assessment, and for whom the strategy allocated during randomization was fully implemented (ie, patients allocated to the foot reflexology group that did not receive four sessions of foot reflexology will be excluded from the analysis).

### **Regulatory and Ethical Considerations**

This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Île de France X CPP) on April 3, 2018 (No. ID RCB 2018-A00571-54). This study complies with the Reference Methodology (MR-001) developed by the French Data Protection Commission (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés), amended in October 2010, relating to the processing of personal data in clinical trials. This study follows the SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials) guidelines [36].

Patients provide written informed consent that is dated and signed by the patient and the investigating physician. Patients in the control group will receive foot reflexology sessions at the end of their participation (two 30-minute sessions).

This study takes place in a university hospital. Each caregiver and investigator involved ensures optimal patient management. The project manager ensures communication and a close link between the caregivers, investigators, reflexologist, sponsor, and patients.

## Results

This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Île de France X CPP) on April 3, 2018 (No. ID RCB 2018-A00571-54). Enrollment started in June 2018. Data analysis will be performed during the second quarter of 2020 and results will be published in the last quarter of 2020.

## Discussion

According to a European survey reported by Molassiotis et al [1], 35.9% of patients with cancer use CAMs, and after a diagnosis of cancer the use of CAMs increases by at least 30%. For varied reasons, some patients do not inform caregivers or health care professionals that they use CAMs [37,38]; however, certain CAMs could have potential interactions with conventional cancer treatments [39,40]. In parallel, oncologists lack adequate information about the safety and efficacy of CAMs to confidently inform their patients [41-43] and they have requested more rigorous evaluation [42,43]. Moreover, the World Health Organization's Traditional Medicine Strategy emphasizes the importance of thorough evaluation; the objectives of this strategic approach are to inform policy;

determine safety, efficacy, and quality; increase access; and promote the rational use of traditional medicine [44].

This RCT (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT03508180), which began in June 2018, assesses the benefits of foot reflexology. The expected results are a decrease in CINV and anxiety, as well as an improvement in quality of life and self-esteem. This will also allow the investigation of any potential difference in benefit between patients with lung cancer and patients with digestive system cancer, and patients with different stages of cancer (metastatic and nonmetastatic). The results will be available in the last quarter of 2020.

This study does have limitations. First, the results may not be representative of all cancers; patient recruitment was only done at one cancer center. Even if conventional treatments are similar within the various private and public health care centers in France, a larger multicenter study would ensure that the results are generalizable.

In conclusion, the current management of patients with cancer involves treatment with conventional medicine while offering supportive oncological care. If the results of this study are significant, foot reflexology may be a promising complement to conventional antiemetic drugs.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the caregivers and physicians in the respiratory medicine, hepatogastroenterology, and outpatient departments for their kind welcome in setting up this study, and their support in patient recruitment and nausea assessment.

The authors thank the clinical research assistants (Audrey Serres, Virginie Wautot, Peggy Falgon, Hélèna Hadjisavvas, and Anne-Laure Charlois) and the nurses (Marjorie Chavrot and Patricia Meillat) for logistical support. We also thank the reflexologists (Charlotte Rentler and Karine Deffez).

The authors warmly thank Mrs Mireille Meunier for her expertise in foot reflexology; the DRCI (Délégation à la Recherche Clinique et à l'Innovation) of the Hospices Civils de Lyon for their support of this study; Philip Robinson (DRCI, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France) for his help with manuscript preparation; and the APICIL Foundation for funding. The APICIL Foundation is funding the reflexologists involved in this study.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed to the conception or delivery of the trial. AMR is the trial manager and drafted the manuscript. AMS and VP provided senior trial management input and supported the drafting of the manuscript. AMS and AMR contributed to the methodology of the study. AMR and PJS support the daily delivery of the trial by collecting and managing the data. CR is responsible for intervention delivery (foot reflexology). FS provides statistical expertise and input and supported the sample size calculation and study design. AMR, MC, and PJS are the chief investigators and maintain overall oversight and responsibility for the trial delivery. MP provides psychosocial expertise and supported the intervention development and study design. All authors have agreed to be personally accountable for their own contributions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

Fabien Subtil is funded by the sponsor (Hospices Civils de Lyon) for this study. Charlotte Rentler is funded by the APICIL Foundation for this study. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Multimedia Appendix 1**

Ethical committee 04/03/2018. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 278 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

#### References



- Molassiotis A, Fernández-Ortega P, Pud D, Ozden G, Scott JA, Panteli V, et al. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in cancer patients: a European survey. Ann Oncol 2005 Apr;16(4):655-663 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdi110] [Medline: 15699021]
- 2. Keene MR, Heslop IM, Sabesan SS, Glass BD. Complementary and alternative medicine use in cancer: A systematic review. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2019 May;35:33-47. [doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.01.004] [Medline: 31003679]
- 3. Tran V, Riveros C, Péan C, Czarnobroda A, Ravaud P. Patients' perspective on how to improve the care of people with chronic conditions in France: a citizen science study within the ComPaRe e-cohort. BMJ Qual Saf 2019 Nov;28(11):875-886 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008593] [Medline: 31015376]
- Rossi E, Vita A, Baccetti S, Di Stefano M, Voller F, Zanobini A. Complementary and alternative medicine for cancer patients: results of the EPAAC survey on integrative oncology centres in Europe. Support Care Cancer 2015 Jun;23(6):1795-1806. [doi: 10.1007/s00520-014-2517-4] [Medline: 25471177]
- 5. Ernst E, Posadzki P, Lee MS. Reflexology: an update of a systematic review of randomised clinical trials. Maturitas 2011 Feb;68(2):116-120. [doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2010.10.011] [Medline: 21111551]
- 6. Hodgson H. Does reflexology impact on cancer patients' quality of life? Nurs Stand 2000;14(31):33-38. [doi: 10.7748/ns2000.04.14.31.33.c2817] [Medline: 11973949]
- Stephenson NLN, Swanson M, Dalton J, Keefe FJ, Engelke M. Partner-delivered reflexology: effects on cancer pain and anxiety. Oncol Nurs Forum 2007 Jan;34(1):127-132. [doi: <u>10.1188/07.ONF.127-132</u>] [Medline: <u>17562639</u>]
- Tsay SL, Chen HL, Chen SC, Lin HR, Lin KC. Effects of reflexotherapy on acute postoperative pain and anxiety among patients with digestive cancer. Cancer Nurs 2008;31(2):109-115. [doi: <u>10.1097/01.NCC.0000305694.74754.7b</u>] [Medline: <u>18490886</u>]
- 9. Yang J. [The effects of foot reflexology on nausea, vomiting and fatigue of breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy]. Taehan Kanho Hakhoe Chi 2005 Feb;35(1):177-185. [doi: <u>10.4040/jkan.2005.35.1.177</u>] [Medline: <u>15778569</u>]
- Özdelikara A, Tan M. The Effect of Reflexology on Chemotherapy-induced Nausea, Vomiting, and Fatigue in Breast Cancer Patients. Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs 2017;4(3):241-249 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.4103/apjon.apjon\_15\_17] [Medline: 28695171]
- Jovenin N, Eche-Gass A, Chèze S, Launay-Vacher V, Mayeur D, Rey J, Groupe de travail du référentiel Association francophone pour les soins oncologiques de support (AFSOS) sur les nausées-vomissements induits par les traitements anti-cancéreux. [Antineoplastic drug induced nausea and vomiting: What is the clinical practice in 2018? An update of AFSOS clinical guidelines]. Bull Cancer 2019 May;106(5):497-509. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.bulcan.2019.02.002</u>] [Medline: <u>30922554</u>]
- Hilarius DL, Kloeg PH, van der Wall E, van den Heuvel JJG, Gundy CM, Aaronson NK. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in daily clinical practice: a community hospital-based study. Support Care Cancer 2012 Jan;20(1):107-117 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s00520-010-1073-9] [Medline: 21258948]
- 13. Bloechl-Daum B, Deuson RR, Mavros P, Hansen M, Herrstedt J. Delayed nausea and vomiting continue to reduce patients' quality of life after highly and moderately emetogenic chemotherapy despite antiemetic treatment. J Clin Oncol 2006 Sep 20;24(27):4472-4478. [doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.05.6382] [Medline: 16983116]
- 14. Thibault V, Leguelinel-Blache G, Obled S, Loriot V, Phouttasang V, Wolf P, et al. [Chemotherapy for colorectal cancer: Pragmatic assessment of prescription changes and relative dose intensity]. Bull Cancer 2017 Sep;104(9):714-720. [doi: 10.1016/j.bulcan.2017.04.006] [Medline: 28578823]
- Aspinall SL, Good CB, Zhao X, Cunningham FE, Heron BB, Geraci M, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for stage III colon cancer: relative dose intensity and survival among veterans. BMC Cancer 2015 Feb 18;15:62 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12885-015-1038-y] [Medline: 25884851]
- Roila F, Molassiotis A, Herrstedt J, Aapro M, Gralla RJ, Bruera E, participants of the MASCC/ESMO Consensus Conference Copenhagen 2015. 2016 MASCC and ESMO guideline update for the prevention of chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and of nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer patients. Ann Oncol 2016 Sep;27(suppl 5):v119-v133. [doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw270] [Medline: 27664248]
- 17. Razvi Y, Chan S, McFarlane T, McKenzie E, Zaki P, DeAngelis C, et al. ASCO, NCCN, MASCC/ESMO: a comparison of antiemetic guidelines for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in adult patients. Support Care Cancer 2019 Jan;27(1):87-95. [doi: 10.1007/s00520-018-4464-y] [Medline: 30284039]
- Grunberg S, Clark-Snow RA, Koeller J. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: contemporary approaches to optimal management. Proceedings from a symposium at the 2008 Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) Annual Meeting. Support Care Cancer 2010 Mar;18 Suppl 1:S1-10. [doi: <u>10.1007/s00520-009-0807-z]</u> [Medline: <u>20084406</u>]
- Vidall C, Sharma S, Amlani B. Patient-practitioner perception gap in treatment-induced nausea and vomiting. Br J Nurs 2016 Sep 08;25(16):S4-S11. [doi: <u>10.12968/bjon.2016.25.S4</u>] [Medline: <u>27615540</u>]
- 20. Morin S, Leurs I, Bousquet M, Scotté F. [Perceptual gap between oncologists/oncology nurses and patients in the management and impact of chemotherapy/radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: French results of the GAP survey]. Bull Cancer 2016 May;103(5):469-477. [doi: 10.1016/j.bulcan.2016.02.011] [Medline: 27015797]

RenderX

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018 Sep 12 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3322/caac.21492] [Medline: 30207593]
- 22. Souquet PJ, Mennecier B, Duruisseaux M, Tissot C. Cancers Bronchiques à Petites Cellules. Référentiels Auvergne Rhône-Alpes en oncologie thoracique. 2019. URL: <u>https://ressources-aura.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/CPC\_2019\_VDEF.</u> pdf [accessed 2020-06-11]
- 23. Postmus PE, Kerr KM, Oudkerk M, Senan S, Waller DA, Vansteenkiste J, ESMO Guidelines Committee. Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2017 Jul 01;28(suppl\_4):iv1-iv21 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx222] [Medline: 28881918]
- 24. Wu Y, Planchard D, Lu S, Sun H, Yamamoto N, Kim D, et al. Pan-Asian adapted Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a CSCO-ESMO initiative endorsed by JSMO, KSMO, MOS, SSO and TOS. Ann Oncol 2019 Feb 01;30(2):171-210 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy554] [Medline: 30596843]
- 25. Société Nationale Française de Gastro-Entérologie. Thesaurus National de Cancerologie Digestive. URL: <u>https://www.snfge.org/tncd</u> [accessed 2020-06-11]
- 26. Baraniskin A, Van Laethem J, Wyrwicz L, Guller U, Wasan HS, Matysiak-Budnik T, et al. Clinical relevance of molecular diagnostics in gastrointestinal (GI) cancer: European Society of Digestive Oncology (ESDO) expert discussion and recommendations from the 17th European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO)/World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer, Barcelona. Eur J Cancer 2017 Nov;86:305-317. [doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2017.09.021] [Medline: 29065378]
- 27. Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D, Schulz KF, Ravaud P, CONSORT NPT Group. CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. Ann Intern Med 2017 Jul 04;167(1):40-47. [doi: 10.7326/M17-0046] [Medline: 28630973]
- 28. Lee SH, Kim JY, Yeo S, Kim SH, Lim S. Meta-Analysis of Massage Therapy on Cancer Pain. Integr Cancer Ther 2015 Jul;14(4):297-304. [doi: 10.1177/1534735415572885] [Medline: 25784669]
- 29. Byers D. Better Health With Foot Reflexology: The Original Ingham Method Including Hand Reflexology. New York City, NY: Ingham Pub; 1983.
- Lee KA, Kieckhefer GM. Measuring human responses using visual analogue scales. West J Nurs Res 1989 Feb;11(1):128-132. [doi: <u>10.1177/019394598901100111</u>] [Medline: <u>2728416</u>]
- 31. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993 Mar 03;85(5):365-376. [doi: 10.1093/jnci/85.5.365] [Medline: 8433390]
- 32. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983 Jun;67(6):361-370. [doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x] [Medline: 6880820]
- Bruchon-Schweitzer M. Dimensionality of body perception and personality. Percept Mot Skills 1979 Jun;48(3 Pt 1):840-842. [doi: <u>10.2466/pms.1979.48.3.840</u>] [Medline: <u>482036</u>]
- 34. Vallieres EF, Vallerand RJ. Traduction et Validation Canadienne-Française de L'échelle de L'estime de Soi de Rosenberg. International Journal of Psychology 1990 Jan;25(2):305-316. [doi: <u>10.1080/00207599008247865</u>]
- 35. Billhult A, Bergbom I, Stener-Victorin E. Massage relieves nausea in women with breast cancer who are undergoing chemotherapy. J Altern Complement Med 2007;13(1):53-57. [doi: 10.1089/acm.2006.6049] [Medline: 17309378]
- Chan A, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Ann Intern Med 2013 Feb 5;158(3):200-207. [doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00583] [Medline: 23295957]
- Buckner CA, Lafrenie RM, Dénommée JA, Caswell JM, Want DA. Complementary and alternative medicine use in patients before and after a cancer diagnosis. Curr Oncol 2018 Dec;25(4):e275-e281 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3747/co.25.3884] [Medline: 30111972]
- Rossanaly Vasram R, Zysman M, Ribeiro Baptista B, Ederle C, Nguyen-Thi PL, Clement-Duchene C, et al. [Complementary and alternative medicine use by lung cancer patients]. Rev Pneumol Clin 2017 Sep;73(4):172-179. [doi: 10.1016/j.pneumo.2017.04.002] [Medline: 28756003]
- Bozza C, Agostinetto E, Gerratana L, Puglisi F. [Complementary and alternative medicine in oncology]. Recenti Prog Med 2015 Dec;106(12):601-607. [doi: <u>10.1701/2094.22648</u>] [Medline: <u>26780069</u>]
- 40. Stub T, Quandt SA, Arcury TA, Sandberg JC, Kristoffersen AE, Musial F, et al. Perception of risk and communication among conventional and complementary health care providers involving cancer patients' use of complementary therapies: a literature review. BMC Complement Altern Med 2016 Sep 08;16:353 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12906-016-1326-3] [Medline: 27609097]
- 41. Bourgeault IL. Physicians' attitudes toward patients' use of alternative cancer therapies. CMAJ 1996 Dec 15;155(12):1679-1685 [FREE Full text] [Medline: <u>8976333</u>]
- 42. McCall MC, Ward A, Heneghan C. Yoga in adult cancer: a pilot survey of attitudes and beliefs among oncologists. Curr Oncol 2015 Feb;22(1):13-19 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3747/co.22.2129] [Medline: 25684984]

RenderX

- 43. Yang G, Lee R, Zhang H, Gu W, Yang P, Ling C. National survey of China's oncologists' knowledge, attitudes, and clinical practice patterns on complementary and alternative medicine. Oncotarget 2017 Feb 21;8(8):13440-13449 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14560] [Medline: 28088780]
- 44. World Health Organization. WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy: 2014-2023. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013:1-76.

#### Abbreviations

BIQ: Body Image Questionnaire
CAMs: complementary and alternative medicines
EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire
HADS: Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale
RCT: randomized controlled trial
SPIRIT: Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials
VAS: visual analogue scale

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 28.11.19; peer-reviewed by WSY Chan, G Temiz; comments to author 05.03.20; revised version received 16.04.20; accepted 06.05.20; published 14.07.20

Please cite as:

Murat-Ringot A, Souquet PJ, Chauvenet M, Rentler C, Subtil F, Schott AM, Preau M, Piriou V The Effects of Foot Reflexology on Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting in Patients with Digestive System or Lung Cancer: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial JMIR Res Protoc 2020;9(7):e17232 URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2020/7/e17232 doi: 10.2196/17232 PMID: 32449505

©Audrey Murat-Ringot, Pierre Jean Souquet, Marion Chauvenet, Charlotte Rentler, Fabien Subtil, Anne-Marie Schott, Marie Preau, Vincent Piriou. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (http://www.researchprotocols.org), 14.07.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

