

Protocol

A Shared Mealtime Approach to Improving Social and Nutritional Functioning Among Older Adults Living Alone: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

Joanna McHugh, BA (Hons), PhD; Olga Lee, BA (Hons), MSc; Niamh Aspell, BSc; Brian A Lawlor, MB, BCh, BAO, DO, DCH, MRCPI, MD, PhD, MRCPsych, FRCPsych; Sabina Brennan, BA Psych (Hon), PhD

NEIL Program, Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

Corresponding Author:

Joanna McHugh, BA (Hons), PhD

NEIL Program

Institute of Neuroscience

Trinity College Dublin

Room 3.10, Institute of Neuroscience

Lloyd Building, Trinity College

Dublin, Dublin 2

Ireland

Phone: 353 1896 ext 8414

Fax: 353 896 8414

Email: mchughje@tcd.ie

Abstract

Background: Older adults living alone are at increased risk of malnutrition as well as social isolation. Previous research has evaluated psychosocial interventions aimed at improving social support for older adults living alone. One meta-analysis in particular has suggested that multimodal psychosocial interventions are more effective than unimodal interventions. As such, it may be more effective to deliver an intervention which combines nutritional and social support together. Consequently, we designed the RelAte intervention, which focuses on shared mealtimes as a source of combined social and nutritional support for older adults living alone who are at risk of social isolation.

Objective: The objective of the RelAte trial was to evaluate the impact of such an intervention on energy intake, anthropometric measurements, and nutritional social cognitive variables among older adults living alone in the community.

Methods: There are 100 participants that will be recruited and randomized to either the treatment (n=50) or the control group. The treatment group will receive a visit from a trained peer volunteer once weekly for a period of 8 weeks. Outcomes of interest include: energy intake, social cognitive factors related to diet, abdominal circumference, body mass index, psychosocial well-being, frailty, nutritional status, and health utilities. Outcomes will be obtained at baseline, immediately postintervention (8 weeks after baseline), 12-week follow-up, and 26-week follow-up by assessors blinded to participants' randomized assignment.

Results: The Relate trial is currently active. We are currently at data analysis stage. The study started in June 2013 and will run until June 2015.

Conclusions: Results from this study will primarily describe the effectiveness of a shared mealtime intervention for older adults living alone in terms of their dietary well-being, physical health, and psychosocial well-being.

Trial Registration: Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02007551; <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00102401> (Archived by WebCite at <http://www.webcitation/6WptuVTtz>).

(*JMIR Res Protoc* 2015;4(2):e43) doi:[10.2196/resprot.4050](https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.4050)

KEYWORDS

nutrition; randomized controlled trial; older; aging; intervention; social support; anthropometry; social cognitive theory

Introduction

Socially Isolated Older Adults

Older adults who live alone independently in the community are at increased risk of becoming socially isolated, relative to those who live with others [1,2]. Social isolation, in turn, is a significant risk factor for the development of cognitive impairment, as well as increased risk of dementia, morbidity of other types, and mortality [3-5]. Since social isolation has such a significant effect on older adults, interventions are recommended. To date, there have been many attempts to design and evaluate effective and scalable interventions to improve social support among older adults. The most common form of social support intervention is the “befriending” intervention [6], whereby volunteers are trained to provide emotional support and reciprocal social support for the participant. Befriending interventions can be effective in improving psychosocial functioning and reducing depressive symptomatology [7].

Mealtime Interventions

Social isolation among older adults living alone can also increase the risk of malnutrition [8-11]. The state of malnutrition itself is associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and up to 20 times more complications at hospitalization. While studies have in the past focused on mealtime interventions, these interventions have tended to focus on institutionalized populations, or older adults with dementia [12-20]. The concept of a mealtime intervention does not appear to have been applied to a healthy independently living older population. However, the concept of a mealtime is gaining ground as a scientifically interesting topic of research [21], particularly the idea of shared mealtimes as opposed to eating alone [22]. A study of 150 older adults found that 32% never shared a meal with others [23].

It is important that interventions are designed according to a theoretical framework, to inform the research design, the content of the intervention, and to facilitate interpretation of results [24,25]. A popular theoretical framework underlying many psychosocial interventions is Social Cognitive Theory [26,27]. Interventions designed in accordance with this theory focus on the individual as learning most effectively from others, particularly when learning health behaviors. Social cognitive interventions aim to facilitate the transmission of knowledge from one individual to another, ideally between peers, so as to improve self-efficacy and ultimately change behavior. Outcome expectations, or the belief that a particular outcome will follow from a behavior, are also of interest as an outcome variable in social cognitive interventions.

A meta-analysis of befriending interventions performed by Cattan et al [28] concluded that active interventions, whereby some effort on the part of the participant was required, were more effective than those without. Furthermore, since challenges are rarely faced in isolation, Sabir et al have recommended that successful interventions should target more than one challenge at a time [29]. Combining social and nutritional support may have synergistic effects on nutritional outcomes since companionship may improve energy intake at meals [10,30]. Furthermore, social support appears to be best received if combined with a secondary leisure activity [31], presumably

because incidental social contact is more acceptable to older adults than purposeful contact, which may highlight a stigmatized condition of social isolation [32]. Thus, we decided to target nutritional and social challenges faced by older adults living alone in combination, using the RelAte shared mealtime intervention. The purpose of this trial is therefore to examine the preliminary effectiveness of implementing the RelAte shared mealtime intervention for older adults living alone at risk of social isolation.

Methods

Study Design

The RelAte trial is a parallel assignment, assessor-blinded, randomized controlled trial aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of an 8-week peer-delivered social and nutritional intervention relative to text-based educational information for older adults who are living alone and at risk of social isolation. The trial protocol was registered on an Internet trial database [33]. The primary aim of the trial is to indicate the effectiveness of the RelAte intervention and its impact on social cognitive and anthropometric factors for older adults living alone independently in the community. A total of 100 participants will be recruited to the study, age 60 and over, and will be randomly assigned to the treatment or the control group based on a minimization procedure [34]. The study is a feasibility trial, and as such, our target sample size is not aimed at achieving sufficient power to make conclusive statements about the intervention effectiveness, but rather to obtain sufficient effect sizes to allow preliminary statements to be made about the impact of such an intervention, and to inform further, optimally powered, trial studies evaluating the intervention.

Participants

Participants for the current study are eligible if they are 60 years of age or older, living alone, and deem themselves to be at risk of social isolation. A phone screen will then determine whether participants are eligible for inclusion in the study according to the following criteria: that they show no sign of cognitive impairment as defined using the Telephone Cognitive Screen [35]; that they do not report a history of stroke, epilepsy, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder, recurrent psychotic depression, or alcohol or drug abuse within the past 5 years; that they do not report the use of anticonvulsants or antipsychotic medications; that they do not have significant hearing difficulties which are not resolved using a hearing aid; that they do not report a history of any illness which caused permanent decrease in memory or other cognitive functions; and that they do not currently have any bloodborne, airborne, or contact-borne infectious diseases which would threaten the well-being of the peer volunteer. In the original protocol plan, an additional exclusion criterion was that eligible participants must have scored as socially isolated on the Lubben Social Network Scale [36], but following the screening of the first 10 participants, it was found that this yielded only 20% eligibility from interested participants; since older adults living alone are a particularly difficult group to recruit for research without this additional criterion, it was deemed necessary to instead change this

criterion to include participants if they themselves felt that they were at risk of becoming socially isolated.

Recruitment

Recruitment strategies include: mail-drops to sheltered accommodation areas in the greater Dublin area; presentations to senior citizens groups, active retirement groups, and other social groups for older adults; publications in national newspapers and use of national television media; published recruitment advertisements in parish newsletters; recruitment via day centers and clinics; recruitment via public health nurse networks and other allied health professionals working in the community; disseminating fliers to primary care offices and pharmacies; and word of mouth.

Informed Consent

Approval of the trial protocol and consent forms has been obtained by the School of Psychology Research Ethics Committee, Trinity College Dublin (Project, RelAte 12122-2013) prior to the recruitment of any participants. All participants must provide informed written consent prior to their involvement with the study.

Measures

All measures will be obtained at baseline, 8-weeks postbaseline (ie, immediately after the 8-week intervention period), 12-week follow-up, and 26-week follow-up, for all 100 participants. Assessments will be conducted by research assistants with qualifications in psychology, who will be blinded to the randomized assignment of the participants.

Primary Outcome Measures

Social Cognitive Variables

These variables describe the self-efficacy, self-regulation, outcome expectations, and social support related to dietary behavior for participants. The Generalised Self-Efficacy Scale [37], Nutrition Self-Efficacy Scale [38], and Health Beliefs Survey [39] will all be used to evaluate these variables across all participants, across the four measurement points.

Energy Intake

Energy intake in kilocalories will be assessed using two 24-hour dietary recalls, whereby participants are asked to recall in detail everything they ate and drank over the previous 24-hour period. The assessors will receive dietetic training in administering these dietary recalls. The dietary recalls will be converted to kilocalorie values using Nutritics software [40].

Secondary Outcome Measures

Quality of Life

Participant quality of life will be measured at each timepoint using the 19-item Control, Autonomy, Self-Realisation & Pleasure Scale [41].

Cognition

Participant cognition over time will be measured using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment [42] and the Trail Making Test [43]; premorbid cognitive function will be assessed using the National Adult Reading test at baseline only [44].

Social Connectedness

The Berkman-Syme Social Network Index will be used to measure social connectedness over time [45].

Loneliness

The De Jong Gierveld scale will be used to assess loneliness over time [46].

Psychological Well-Being

Psychological well-being will be assessed across participants over time using the Centre for Epidemiological Studies depression scale [47], the Hospital Anxiety & Depression Anxiety subscale [48], and the Ryff scale of well-being [49].

Nutrition

Nutritional health will be assessed using the Mini Nutritional Assessment [50] and the Food Enjoyment Scale [51].

Physical Health

Abdominal circumference will be measured using a measuring tape; body mass index will be measured using weight (kg) and height (cm) readings from a clinical stadiometer and body composition weighing scales. Grip strength and overall frailty will be assessed and operationalized according to the Survey of Health, Ageing, and Retirement in Europe frailty instrument [52], and overall health will be assessed using the Health Utilities Index [53].

The Intervention

The RelAte intervention is an 8-week, multicomponent peer-delivered intervention, which is delivered in the home of the participant. The intervention each week consists of a visit from a matched peer (matched based on location and gender), who decides with the participant on a recipe from the RelAte guidebook. These recipes were chosen based on the ease of preparation for one person (since the RelAte participants live alone) and for cost-effectiveness also. Each week, the participant and volunteer choose a recipe, and the volunteer brings the ingredients to the home of the participant, so that they can together prepare and share a meal. The volunteers have all received basic culinary and nutritional training and, in keeping with recommendations for active interventions, participants are advised that they must engage with all steps of the cooking process, insofar as they are able. Since the intervention is based on Social Cognitive Theory, there must be opportunities for vicarious learning (through watching the volunteer cook), social support provided by the volunteer, opportunities for skill mastery (by helping with the cooking), and facilitation of goal setting if the participant wishes. The weekly visits last for 90 minutes and, aside from the guidelines listed here, are unstructured. The participants in the control group receive the RelAte guidebook, which contains recipes and nutritional/culinary information and advice, but no visitor.

Planned Analyses

We hypothesize that engagement with the RelAte intervention will result in increased energy intake for individuals with less than ideal intake, and decreased energy intake for those with higher than ideal intake. We hypothesize that self-regulation, self-efficacy, outcome expectations, and social support relating

to dietary behavior will all improve over time for the treatment group relative to the control group. A weighted analysis of covariance model with appropriate covariates of baseline measures and age, baseline social isolation, and gender will be used unless there are significant issues with missing data and unbalanced observations, in which case mixed models analyses will be used. All analyses will follow the intention-to-treat principle. In dealing with missing data, multiple imputation methods will be used.

Results

The Relate trial is currently active. We are currently at data analysis stage. The study started in June 2013 and will run until June 2015.

Discussion

To summarize, the RelAte trial is a two-arm, assessor-blind, parallel randomized controlled trial, which aims to evaluate the effectiveness of an 8-week combined social and nutritional mealtime intervention for older adults living alone who

self-report to be at risk of social isolation. We hypothesize that engagement with the intervention, which is peer-led and modelled upon Social Cognitive Theory principles, will result in improved self-regulation, self-efficacy, outcome expectations, and social support pertaining to dietary behavior, as well as improving energy intake among older adults living alone. The study is an opportunity also to evaluate dietary behavior and intake among older adults who live alone, since this type of research has rarely been conducted with an independent Irish older population. It is expected that recruitment issues may hinder study progress, since older adults living alone are reportedly a difficult demographic to recruit for research. Furthermore, since older adults living alone are at increased morbidity risk, it is possible that the later measurement points of the study will be subject to a high attrition rate, although this is unavoidable with this population. Similarly, adherence to the weekly 8-week intervention may be poor, due to unavoidable health-related issues. Findings will not be conclusive since the sample size is not based on a power calculation; however, findings will indicate the preliminary effectiveness of a shared mealtime intervention, and hopefully elucidate future directions for research in this area.

Acknowledgments

We would like also to thank Dr Cathal Walsh for his input into the data analytic plan for the current trial. This research was funded by a research grant provided by Home Instead Senior Care. Professors Brian Lawlor and Sabina Brennan are also supported by Atlantic Philanthropies and their contribution to the NEIL Program. The study was funded without a peer-review process.

Authors' Contributions

JMcH wrote the manuscript, led the design, coordination, and delivery of the intervention and the trial. OL and NA facilitated the delivery of the intervention and the trial, and were involved in aspects of the design, as well as reviewing drafts of the manuscript. SB and BAL were involved in conceptualizing the intervention and trial design, and provided feedback and final approval for the current manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Kharicha K, Iliffe S, Harari D, Swift C, Gillmann G, Stuck AE. Health risk appraisal in older people 1: Are older people living alone an "at-risk" group? *Br J Gen Pract* 2007 Apr;57(537):271-276 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 17394729]
2. Yeh JS, Sing KL. Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal. Living alone, social support and feeling lonely among the elderly. *Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal* 2004 URL: <http://www.sbp-journal.com/index.php/sbp/article/view/1313> [accessed 2015-03-30] [WebCite Cache ID 6XPeWaCnB]
3. House JS, Landis KR, Umberson D. Social relationships and health. *Science* 1988 Jul 29;241(4865):540-545. [Medline: 3399889]
4. Seeman TE, Lusignolo TM, Albert M, Berkman L. Social relationships, social support, and patterns of cognitive aging in healthy, high-functioning older adults: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Health Psychol* 2001 Jul;20(4):243-255. [Medline: 11515736]
5. Fratiglioni L, Paillard-Borg S, Winblad B. An active and socially integrated lifestyle in late life might protect against dementia. *Lancet Neurol* 2004 Jun;3(6):343-353. [doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(04)00767-7] [Medline: 15157849]
6. Lester H, Mead N, Graham C, Gask L, Reilly S. An exploration of the value and mechanisms of befriending for older adults in England. *Ageing and Society* 2011 Apr 27;32(02):307-328. [doi: 10.1017/S0144686X11000353]
7. Mead N, Lester H, Chew-Graham C, Gask L, Bower P. Effects of befriending on depressive symptoms and distress: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010 Feb;196(2):96-101 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.109.064089] [Medline: 20118451]
8. Walker D, Beauchene RE. The relationship of loneliness, social isolation, and physical health to dietary adequacy of independently living elderly. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1991 Mar;91(3):300-304. [Medline: 1997551]

9. Hsieh YM, Sung TS, Wan KS. A survey of nutrition and health status of solitary and non-solitary elders in taiwan. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2010 Jan;14(1):11-14. [Medline: [20082048](#)]
10. de Castro John M. Age-related changes in the social, psychological, and temporal influences on food intake in free-living, healthy, adult humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002 Jun;57(6):M368-M377. [Medline: [12023266](#)]
11. Wylie C, Copeman J, Kirk S. Health and social factors affecting the food choice and nutritional intake of elderly people with restricted mobility. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 1999 Oct;12(5):375-380. [doi: [10.1046/j.1365-277x.1999.00177.x](#)]
12. Abbott RA, Whear R, Thompson-Coon J, Ukoumunne OC, Rogers M, Bethel A, et al. Effectiveness of mealtime interventions on nutritional outcomes for the elderly living in residential care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013 Sep;12(4):967-981. [doi: [10.1016/j.arr.2013.06.002](#)] [Medline: [23811415](#)]
13. Altus DE, Engelman KK, Mathews RM. Using family-style meals to increase participation and communication in persons with dementia. *J Gerontol Nurs* 2002 Sep;28(9):47-53. [Medline: [12240521](#)]
14. Bundgaard K. The meaning of everyday meals in living units for older people. *Journal of Occupational Science* 2005 Jul;12(2):91-101. [doi: [10.1080/14427591.2005.9686552](#)]
15. Chang CC, Lin LC. Effects of a feeding skills training programme on nursing assistants and dementia patients. *J Clin Nurs* 2005 Nov;14(10):1185-1192. [doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2702.2005.01240.x](#)] [Medline: [16238764](#)]
16. Curle L, Keller H. Resident interactions at mealtime: An exploratory study. *Eur J Ageing* 2010 Aug 3;7(3):189-200. [doi: [10.1007/s10433-010-0156-2](#)]
17. Dickinson A, Welch C, Ager L. No longer hungry in hospital: Improving the hospital mealtime experience for older people through action research. *J Clin Nurs* 2008 Jun;17(11):1492-1502. [doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2702.2007.02063.x](#)] [Medline: [18047573](#)]
18. Keller LMD, McLeod J, Ridgeway N. Development and reliability of the mealtime social interaction measure for long-term care (MSILTC). *Journal of Applied Gerontology* 2012 Jun. [doi: [10.1177/0733464811433841](#)]
19. Nijs Kristel A N D, de GC, Siebelink E, Blauw YH, Vanneste V, Kok FJ, van Staveren Wija A. Effect of family-style meals on energy intake and risk of malnutrition in Dutch nursing home residents: A randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006 Sep;61(9):935-942. [Medline: [16960024](#)]
20. Reimer HD, Keller HH. Mealtimes in nursing homes: Striving for person-centered care. *J Nutr Elder* 2009 Oct;28(4):327-347. [doi: [10.1080/01639360903417066](#)] [Medline: [21184376](#)]
21. Fjellström C. Mealtime and meal patterns from a cultural perspective. *Scandinavian Journal of Nutrition* 2004 Dec 1;48(4):161-164. [doi: [10.1080/11026480410000986](#)]
22. Sobal J, Nelson MK. Commensal eating patterns: A community study. *Appetite* 2003 Oct;41(2):181-190. [Medline: [14550316](#)]
23. Ferry M, Sidobre B, Lambertin A, Barberger-Gateau P. The SOLINUT study: Analysis of the interaction between nutrition and loneliness in persons aged over 70 years. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2005;9(4):261-268. [Medline: [15980927](#)]
24. Baranowski T, Cullen KW, Baranowski J. Psychosocial correlates of dietary intake: Advancing dietary intervention. *Annu Rev Nutr* 1999;19:17-40. [doi: [10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.17](#)] [Medline: [10448515](#)]
25. Hendrix SJ, Fischer JG, Reddy R D Sudha, Lommel TS, Speer EM, Stephens H, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and knowledge increased following a community-based intervention in older adults in Georgia senior centers. *J Nutr Elder* 2008;27(1-2):155-178. [doi: [10.1080/01639360802060249](#)] [Medline: [18928195](#)]
26. Bandura A. The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology* 1986 Sep;4(3):359-373. [doi: [10.1521/jscp.1986.4.3.359](#)]
27. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav* 2004 Apr;31(2):143-164. [doi: [10.1177/1090198104263660](#)] [Medline: [15090118](#)]
28. Cattan M, White M, Bond J, Learmouth A. Preventing social isolation and loneliness among older people: A systematic review of health promotion interventions. *Ageing and Society* 1999 Apr;25(1):41-67. [doi: [10.1017/S0144686X04002594](#)]
29. Sabir M, Wethington E, Breckman R, Meador R, Reid MC, Pillemer K. A community-based participatory critique of social isolation intervention research for community-dwelling older adults. *J Appl Gerontol* 2009 Apr;28(2):218-234 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/0733464808326004](#)] [Medline: [25165409](#)]
30. McIntosh W, Shifflett P. Influence of social support systems on dietary intake of the elderly. *Journal of Nutrition For the Elderly* 2008 Oct 18;4(1):5-18 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1300/J052v04n01_03](#)]
31. Toepoel V. Ageing, leisure, and social connectedness: How could leisure help reduce social isolation of older people? *Soc Indic Res* 2013 Aug;113(1):355-372 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s11205-012-0097-6](#)] [Medline: [23874058](#)]
32. Farmer J, Philip L, King G, Farrington J, Macleod M. Territorial tensions: Misaligned management and community perspectives on health services for older people in remote rural areas. *Health Place* 2010 Mar;16(2):275-283. [doi: [10.1016/j.healthplace.2009.10.010](#)] [Medline: [19906556](#)]
33. Clinical trials.gov. URL: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/> [accessed 2015-01-26] [WebCite Cache ID 6VrjSNiCj]
34. Altman DG, Bland JM. Treatment allocation by minimisation. *BMJ* 2005 Apr 9;330(7495):843 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmj.330.7495.843](#)] [Medline: [15817555](#)]

35. Newkirk LA, Kim JM, Thompson JM, Tinklenberg JR, Yesavage JA, Taylor JL. Validation of a 26-point telephone version of the mini-mental state examination. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2004 Jun;17(2):81-87. [doi: [10.1177/0891988704264534](https://doi.org/10.1177/0891988704264534)] [Medline: [15157348](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15157348/)]
36. Lubben J, Gironde M. Measuring social networks and assessing their benefits. In: *Social networks and social exclusion: Sociological and policy perspectives* 2004. USA: Ashgate; 2004:20.
37. Schwarzer R, Jerusalem M, Weinman J, Wright S, Johnston M eds. *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio Causal and control beliefs* Windsor, England: NFER-NELSON 1995. 1995. Generalised self-efficacy scale URL: <http://www.midss.org/content/general-self-efficacy-scale-gse> [accessed 2015-04-14] [WebCite Cache ID 6Xn3TVCW7]
38. Schwarzer R, Renner B. Available from: <http://www.RalfSchwarzer.de>. 2009. Health-specific self-efficacy scales URL: <http://www.ralfschwarzer.de/> [accessed 2015-03-09] [WebCite Cache ID 6WuImTD3]
39. Anderson ES, Winett RA, Wojcik JR. Social-cognitive determinants of nutrition behavior among supermarket food shoppers: A structural equation analysis. *Health Psychol* 2000 Sep;19(5):479-486. [Medline: [11007156](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11007156/)]
40. Hyde M, Wiggins RD, Higgs P, Blane DB. A measure of quality of life in early old age: The theory, development and properties of a needs satisfaction model (CASP-19). *Aging Ment Health* 2003 May;7(3):186-194. [doi: [10.1080/1360786031000101157](https://doi.org/10.1080/1360786031000101157)] [Medline: [12775399](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12775399/)]
41. Nutritics. URL: <http://www.nutritics.com/> [WebCite Cache ID 6VrjI7ZIG]
42. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: A brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005 Apr;53(4):695-699. [doi: [10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x)] [Medline: [15817019](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15817019/)]
43. Lezak MD. *Neuropsychological assessment*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2004:2004.
44. Bright P, Jaldow E, Kopelman MD. The National adult reading test as a measure of premorbid intelligence: A comparison with estimates derived from demographic variables. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2002 Sep;8(6):847-854. [Medline: [12240749](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12240749/)]
45. Berkman LF, Syme SL. Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: A nine-year follow-up study of Alameda County residents. *Am J Epidemiol* 1979 Feb;109(2):186-204. [Medline: [425958](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/425958/)]
46. Gierveld JDJ. A 6-item scale for overall, emotional, and social loneliness: Confirmatory tests on survey data. *Research on Aging* 2006 Sep 01;28(5):582-598. [doi: [10.1177/0164027506289723](https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027506289723)]
47. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1977 Jun 01;1(3):385-401. [doi: [10.1177/014662167700100306](https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306)]
48. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983 Jun;67(6):361-370. [Medline: [6880820](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6880820/)]
49. Ryff CD, Keyes CL. The structure of psychological well-being revisited. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1995 Oct;69(4):719-727. [Medline: [7473027](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7473027/)]
50. Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Garry PJ, Nourhashemi F, Benaïm D, Lauque S, et al. The mini nutritional assessment (MNA) and its use in grading the nutritional state of elderly patients. *Nutrition* 1999 Feb;15(2):116-122. [Medline: [9990575](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9990575/)]
51. Vailas LI, Nitzke SA. Food enjoyment scale for older adults. *Journal of Nutrition For the Elderly* 1998 Jun 09;17(3):59-64. [doi: [10.1300/J052v17n03_04](https://doi.org/10.1300/J052v17n03_04)]
52. Romero-Ortuno R, Walsh CD, Lawlor BA, Kenny RA. A frailty instrument for primary care: Findings from the survey of health, ageing and retirement in Europe (SHARE). *BMC Geriatr* 2010;10:57 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1471-2318-10-57](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-10-57)] [Medline: [20731877](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20731877/)]
53. Horsman J, Furlong W, Feeny D, Torrance G. The health utilities index (HUI): Concepts, measurement properties and applications. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003;1:54 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1477-7525-1-54](https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-1-54)] [Medline: [14613568](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14613568/)]

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 17.11.14; peer-reviewed by R An; comments to author 23.01.15; revised version received 28.01.15; accepted 03.02.15; published 21.04.15

Please cite as:

McHugh J, Lee O, Aspell N, Lawlor BA, Brennan S

A Shared Mealtime Approach to Improving Social and Nutritional Functioning Among Older Adults Living Alone: Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

JMIR Res Protoc 2015;4(2):e43

URL: <http://www.researchprotocols.org/2015/2/e43/>

doi: [10.2196/resprot.4050](https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.4050)

PMID: [25900904](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25900904/)

©Joanna McHugh, Olga Lee, Niamh Aspell, Brian A Lawlor, Sabina Brennan. Originally published in *JMIR Research Protocols* (<http://www.researchprotocols.org>), 21.04.2015. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction

in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.researchprotocols.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.